

# Fermat Numbers

The **Fermat numbers** are numbers of the form

$$F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1.$$

Fermat thought that all the  $F_n$  were prime. The first five are:

$$F_0 = 3, \quad f_1 = 5, \quad F_2 = 17, \quad F_3 = 257, \quad F_4 = 65537.$$

However, it turns out that  $641 \mid F_5 = 2^{32} + 1$ . Note that

$$641 = 2^4 + 5^4 \quad \text{and} \quad 641 = 2^7 \cdot 5 + 1.$$

Therefore,

$$2^7 \cdot 5 = 641 - 1, \quad \text{so} \quad 2^{28} \cdot 5^4 = (641 - 1)^4 = 641 \cdot x + 1.$$

Here  $x$  is an integer.

On the other hand,  $5^4 = 641 - 2^4$ , so

$$2^{28} \cdot (641 - 2^4) = 641 \cdot x + 1,$$

$$641 \cdot 2^{28} - 2^{32} = 641 \cdot x + 1,$$

$$2^{32} + 1 = 641(2^{28} - x).$$

This proves that  $641 \mid 2^{32} + 1$ .

A **Fermat prime** is a Fermat number which is prime. It is an open question as to whether there are infinitely many Fermat primes.

Surprisingly, Fermat primes arise in deciding whether a regular  $n$ -gon (a convex polygon with  $n$  equal sides) can be constructed with a compass and a straightedge. Gauss showed that a regular  $n$ -gon is constructible with a compass and a straightedge if and only if  $n$  is a power of 2 times a product of distinct Fermat primes.

Here are some properties of the Fermat numbers.

**Proposition.** If  $p$  is prime and  $p \mid F_n$ , then  $p = k \cdot 2^{n+2} + 1$  for some  $k$ .  $\square$

I won't prove this result, since the proof requires results about quadratic residues which I won't discuss for a while. Here's how it can be used.

---

**Example.** Check  $F_4 = 2^{2^4} + 1 = 65537$  for primality.

Here  $n = 4$ , so all prime divisors must have the form  $k \cdot 2^6 + 1 = 64k + 1$ . There are around 1024 numbers less than 65537 of this form, but I only need to check numbers up to the square root  $\sqrt{65537} \approx 256$ . (For if a number has a prime factor, it must have a prime factor less than its square root.)

$k$	$64k + 1$	Conclusion
1	65	Not prime
2	129	Not prime
3	193	Prime, but doesn't divide 65537

(The next value of  $64k + 1$  is 257, which is larger than  $\sqrt{65537}$ .) Conclusion: 65537 must be prime!  $\square$

---

**Proposition.**  $F_0F_1 \cdots F_{n-1} = F_n - 2$  for  $n > 0$ .

**Proof.**  $F_0 = 3$  and  $F_1 = 5$ , so  $F_0 = F_1 - 2$ . The result is true for  $n = 1$ .

Take  $n > 0$ , and assume the result is true for  $n$ ; I'll try to prove it for  $n + 1$ . By assumption,

$$F_0F_1 \cdots F_{n-1} = F_n - 2, \quad \text{so} \quad F_0F_1 \cdots F_{n-1}F_n = (F_n - 2)F_n.$$

Now

$$(F_n - 2)F_n = (2^{2^n} - 1)(2^{2^n} + 1) = 2^{2 \cdot 2^n} - 1 = 2^{2^{n+1}} - 1 = 2^{2^{n+1}} + 1 - 2 = F_{n+1} - 2.$$

That is,

$$F_0F_1 \cdots F_{n-1}F_n = F_{n+1} - 2.$$

This is the statement for  $n + 1$ , so the proof is complete, by induction.  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $m \neq n$ ,  $(F_m, F_n) = 1$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $m < n$  (if not, switch  $m$  and  $n$ ). Suppose  $p$  is prime and  $p \mid F_m$  and  $p \mid F_n$ . Also,  $p \mid F_0F_1 \cdots F_{n-1}$  since  $m < n$  implies that  $F_m$  occurs in this product. But

$$F_n - 2 = F_0F_1 \cdots F_{n-1}, \quad \text{so} \quad 2 = F_n - F_0F_1 \cdots F_{n-1}.$$

Since  $p$  divides both of the terms on the right,  $p \mid 2$ , so  $p = 2$ . This is impossible, since all the  $F_n$ 's are odd.

Therefore, there is no prime dividing both  $F_m$  and  $F_n$ , and hence  $(F_m, F_n) = 1$ .  $\square$