Supplemental Material

Teaching

- <u>Student Evaluation Reports:</u> Since submitting my application for promotion, I have, of course, received my student course evaluations from the Fall 2017 semester. They are generally unremarkable and consistent with my past evaluations.
- <u>Chair Observation Report</u>: A department chair observation has been completed.

Scholarship

- <u>Peer-Reviewed Manuscript:</u> Regarding scholarship, the manuscript based on Faith McConnell's honors thesis has been published. This was addressed in the application.
- <u>Symposium Proposal Form (proposal has been accepted)</u> for the Annual meeting of the eastern Psychological Association (EPA) to be held in Philadelphia, March 1-3. I have been invited, along with co-presenters Barney Beins (Ithaca College) and Jennifer Thompson (University of Maryland, University College) to present a symposium titled, **APA's Recent Tools for Teaching and Research: Data, Content, and Assessment.** This symposium pertains to the previously mentioned work I have been doing on the Online Psychology Lab. Each of us will present a paper addressing our contributions to this project.

Service

- <u>Program from the conference I co-chaired</u>: Regarding service to the state system, Andrew Bland and I chaired the successful Pennsylvania State Psychology Conference which was held on October 27-28, 2017. Our department has been asked to host this conference again in the 2018-2019 academic year.
- <u>APSCUF Position Statement</u>: Regarding service to APSCUF, president Ken Mash asked me, as chair of the statewide Academic Affairs Committee, to draft another position statement, **Program Review as Justification for Retrenchment**. (Note: This title may change and the word "whereas" will probably be added liberally before the statement is finalized and added to the APSCUF website.) This statement is based on a troubling trend that emerged during the last round of negotiations as PASSHE employed a very narrow definition of Program Review to justify threats of retrenchment.
- <u>Website description of a conference for which I reviewed submissions</u>: Regarding service to the discipline, I was asked to review – and have reviewed - paper and poster submissions for this regional meeting of the Northeastern Evolutionary Psychology Society, a group in which I have had intermittent membership, <u>http://neepsociety.com/</u>.
- Finally, regarding service to the department, this semester, I have assumed the chair of the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee.

| | 26. My class level | 25. I expect to rec | 24. Which of the fo | 32. Additional quest | of the state of th | 31 Additional meet | 30. Additional quest | 29. Additional quest
30. Additional quest | Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | ADDITIONAL QUEST
28. Additional quest
29. Additional quest
30. Additional quest
31 Additional quest | ADDITIONAL QUEST
28. Additional quest
29. Additional quest
30. Additional quest
31. Additional quest | Given opportunit; Given opportunit; ADDITIONAL QUEST Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | Interfectived useful I received useful Given opportuniti ADDITIONAL QUEST Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | Inrormation/conor The lectures/clainer I received usefu Given opportuniti Given opportuniti ADDITIONAL QUEST Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | Texts, materials Information/conor The lectures/claa I received usefu Given opportunit Given opportunit ADDITIONAL QUEST Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | The course objec Texts, materials Information/cono The lectures/claa I received usefu Given opportunit Given apportant quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | INSTRUCTIONAL ME The course objection Texts, materials Information/concolor The lectures/cla Given opportunit Given opportunit Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | INSTRUCTIONAL ME The course objection Texts, materials Information/conc Infe lectures/cla Given opportunit Given opportunit Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | 17. returned materia:
INSTRUCTIONAL ME 18. The course objec 19. Texts, materials 20. Information/cono 21. The lectures/cla 22. I received usefu 23. Given opportunit 23. Given opportunit 28. Additional quest 29. Additional quest 30. Additional quest | demonstrated con- returned materia INSTRUCTIONAL ME INSTRUCTIONAL ME The course objection Information/conco Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | encouraged criti, encouraged criti, demonstrated com returned materia. INSTRUCTIONAL ME The course objection The course objection/conco Information/conco Information/conco Information/conco Infectures/cla Given opportunit; Given opportunit; Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | was avaluate teaching in ancouraged critination encouraged critination encouraged critination demonstrated commendated commendation returned material INSTRUCTIONAL ME The course objection Course objection The course objection The course | i.2. gl-aded my work in i.3. was available to i.4. varied teaching in i.5. encouraged critinis i.6. demonstrated conning i.6. demonstrated conning i.6. demonstrated conning i.7. returned materia. i.8. The course objection i.9.
Texts, materials 20. Information/cono 21. The lectures/clais 22. I received usefui 23. Given opportunity 23. Given opportunity 24. Additional quest 30. Additional quest | Showed enthusiasi graded my work fi was available to
13. was available to
14. varied teaching i encouraged critisi fe demonstrated coni fe demonstrated coni returned materia. INSTRUCTIONAL ME The course objection The returned interials Information/cono Information/cono Information/cono Information/cono Infectures/sla Given opportunity Given opportunity Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | treated the class showed enthusiasi graded my work fi was available to varied teaching a encouraged critisi encouraged critisi demonstrated com demonstrated com returned materiai returned materiais The course objection Information/concological The lectures/classical Given opportunity Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | THE INSTRUCTOR Treated the class showed enthusias graded my work fi was available to waried teaching i encouraged criting encouraged criting encouraged criting demonstrated conn demonstrated conn returned materiai The course objection The recurse objection The lectures/class Given opportunity Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | THE INSTRUCTOR
10. treated the clas:
11. showed enthusias;
12. graded my work fi
13. was available to
14. væride teaching a
15. encouraged criti;
16. demonstrated com
17. returned material
18. The course
objec
19. Texts, materials;
20. Information/como;
21. The lectures/cla;
22. I received usefu;
23. Given opportunit;
24. Additional quest
30. Additional quest
30. Additional quest | My interest in the INSTRUCTOR THE INSTRUCTOR treated the class showed enthusiasi graded my work fi sencouraged critici encouraged critici demonstrated conn returned materia INSTRUCTIONAL ME INSTRUCTIONAL ME Information/conno The lectures/classica Given opportuniti Given opportuniti Additional quest Additional quest | My knowledge, sk. My interest in tl THE INSTRUCTOR treated the class showed enthusiasi graded my work fi graded my work fi encouraged critici demonstrated contini demonstrated contini returned materials INSTRUCTIONAL ME Information/conor Information/conor Information/conor The lectures/cla Given opportuniti Additional quest Additional quest | 7. I met stated could 8. My knowledge, sk 9. My interest in tl 9. My interest in tl 11. Intrated the classifier 12. graded my work fill 13. was available to 14. varied teaching 15. encouraged critic 16. demonstrated contining 17. returned material 18. The course objection 19. Texts, materials 20. Information/cono 21. The lectures/clasifier 22. I received useful 23. Given opportuniti 24. Additional quest 30. Additional quest
 | J was actively 10 I met stated could assi I met stated could assi My knowledge, sk My interest in the transmission of the state o | LEAANNE HOULOWNES I was actively ii I mat stated could actively ii I mat stated could actively ii I met stated could actively in the stated second actively in the state of the state | LEARNER OUTCOMES
5. I was actively in
6. I completed assig
7. I met stated cou
8. My knowledge, sk
9. My interest in ti
10. treated the class
11. showed enthusias
12. gradded my work fi
13. was available to
14. varied teaching
15. encouraged critis
16. demonstrated con
17. returned materia
18. The course object
19. Texts, materials
20. Information/cono
21. The lectures/cla
22. I received usefu
23. Given opportunit
23. Additional quest
30. Additional quest | 4. I can/DLV I will LEARNER OUTCOMES I was actively in I met stated counditional quest My knowledge, sk My interest in the stated the classing treated the classing treated the classing showed enthusiasing showed enthusiasing graded my work find work find the stated conditional conditional quest Additional quest
 | This course built I can/blv I will I canblv I will I completed assi I met stated cour My knowledge, sk My interest in t THE INSTRUCTOR treated the classi graded my work fi encouraged critical mortanted the classi praded my work fi encouraged critical mortanted teaching encouraged critical encouraged critical encouraged critical encouraged critical encouraged critical INSTRUCTIONAL ME Information/cono The lectures/clas Given opportuniti Additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | This course build This course build I can/blv I wild I met stated course build I was actively if I met stated course of the classing Showed enthusiasing graded my work fi was available to was available to was available to morraged criticable fic demonstrated coning returned materia Instructional metaion/cono I received usefu Given opportuniti Additional quest Additional quest | I recommend this This course built This course built This course built I can/blv I will I can/blv I will I completed assi I met stated course My knowledge, sk My interest in t Imet stated the classification of the stated or the stated My interest in t Showed enthusiasification of the stated or the stated or | COURSE CONTENT I recommend this This course chall This course build I can/blv I will I can/blv I will I can/blv I will I met stated course build I met stated course build I met stated course build I met stated course My interest in the traated the classifier Showed enthusiasifier graded my work field was available to was available to showed enthusiasifier encouraged criticitien demonstrated conting returned materials Information/cono I received useful I for additional quest Additional quest Additional quest | COURSE CONTENT
COURSE CONTENT
1. I recommend this
2. This course chal.
3. This course build
3. This course build
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
5. I completed assigned
6. I completed assigned
7. I met stated course
9. My interest in the
11. showed enthusiase
12. graded my work fill
13. was available to
14. varied teaching a
15. encourse defection
16. demonstrated connon
17. returned materiase
20. Information/cono
21. The lectures/clase
22. I received
usefu
23. Given opportunitt
24. Additional quest
25. Additional quest
26. Additional quest
27. Additional quest
28. Additional quest | Evaluation Quest
COURSE CONTENT
1. I recommend this
2. This course chall
3. This course chall
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
5. I was actively in
6. I completed assis
7. I met stated course
9. My interest in the
11. showed enthusias
12. graded my work fi
13. was available to
14. varied teaching
15. encourse objection
16. demonstrated connor
17. returned materials
20. Information/conor
21. The lectures/ola
22. I received useful
23. Given opportunittional quest
24. Additional quest
25. Additional quest | Evaluation Quest
Evaluation Quest
COURSE CONTENT
1. I recommend this
2. This course chall
3. This course build
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
5. I was actively in
6. I completed assis
7. I met stated course
8. My knowledge, sk
9. My interest in the
11. showed enthusias
12. graded my work fi
13. was available to
14. varied teaching a
15. encouraged critic
16. demonstrated conn
17. returned materials
20. Information/cono.
21. The lectures/cla
22. I received usefu
23. Given opportunit
24. Additional quest
25. Additional quest
26. Additional quest | Evaluation Quest
Evaluation Quest
COURSE CONTENT
1. I recommend this
2. This course chal
3. This course build
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
5. I was actively in
6. I completed assis
7. I met stated cour
8. My knowledge, sk
9. My interest in th
11. showed enthusias
12. graded my work fi
13. was available to
14. varied teaching
15. encouraged critic
16. demonstrated con
17. returned materials
20. Information/conco
21. The Lectures/cla
22. I received usefu
23. Given opportunit
24. Additional quest
25. Additional quest
26. Additional quest | Eval ID: 471201
Department:
PSYC
Professor: Gallaghe
Evaluation Quest:
COURSE CONTENT
1. I recommend this
2. This course chail
3. This course chail
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
8. My knowledge, sk
9. My interest in t
11. showed enthusiasi
12. graded my work fi
13. was available to
14. varied teaching
15. encouraged critic
16. demonstrated com
17. returned material
19. The course objection
19. The course objection
19. The staterial
20. Information/cono
21. The lectures/ola
22. I received useful
23. Given opportunit
24. Additional quest
25. Additional quest | Eval ID: 471201
Department: PSVC
Professor: Gallaghe
Evaluation Quest
Evaluation Quest
COURSE CONTENT
1. I recommend this
2. This course chall
3. This course build
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
5. I was actively in
6. I completed assis
7. I met stated cour
8. My knowledge, sk
9. My interest in th
11. showed enthusias
12. graded my work fi
13. was available to
14. varied teaching
15. encouraged critic
16. demonstrated com
17. returned materials
20. Information/como
21. The Lectures/cla
22. I received usefu
23. Given opportunit
24. Additional quest
30. Additional quest | Millersville Univers:
Eval ID: 471201
Department: PSVC
Professor: Gallaghe
Evaluation Quest
COURSE CONTENT
1. I recommend this
2. This course chall
3. This course chall
4. I can/blv I will
4. I can/blv I will
5. I was actively in
6. I completed assis
7. I met stated course
8. My knowledge, sk
9. My interest in t
11. showed enthusias
12. graded my work fi
13. was available to
14. varied teaching a
15. encouraged criticable to
16. demonstrated com
17. returned materials
20. Information/como
21. The lectures/cla
22. I received usefu
23. Given opportunit
24. Additional quest
30. Additional quest |
|---------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--
--|--|---|--
---|---|--
--	--
---	--
---	---
--	--
--	--
 | approaches to promote student lea
cal thinking
cern and interest in students
is in a reasonable amount of time
OVERALL MEANS, T
THODS
and/or activities helped achiev
epts were presented clearly & und
ss activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y, I would take another course fro
y, I would take another course fro
ion 28
ion 29 | assist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cal thinking
cern and interest in students
ls in a reasonable amount of time
oVERALL MEANS, T
THODS
and/or activities helped achiev
epts were presented clearly & und
ss activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y,I would take another course fro
und take another course fro
ion 28
ion 29 | airly and impartially | m for teaching
airly and impartially
asist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cern and interest in students
ls in a reasonable amount of time
y and/or activities helped achiev
etives and grading oriteria were
sciturities were all well organ
l feedback on my course work thru
y,I would take another course fro
IONS FROM INSTRUCTOR
ion 29
ion 30
 | s fairly and with respect
m for teaching
asirly and impartially
assist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cern and interest in students
ls in a reasonable amount of time
tives and grading criteria were e
, and/or activities helped achiev
ep, and/or activities helped achiev
ep, and/or activities helped achiev
sectivities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y,I would take another course fro
OVERALL MEANS, INSTRUCTOR
ion 28
ion 29 | OVERALL MEANS, LEA
m for teaching | he subject area has increased
OVERALL MEANS, LEA
s fairly and with respect
assist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cal thinking
cern and interest in students
is in a reasonable amount of time
oVERALL MEANS, T
THODS
and/or activities helped achiev
epts were presented clearly & und
ss activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y, I would take another course fro
IONS FROM INSTRUCTOR
ion 28 | <pre>ills, and understanding of the subject area has increased
OVERALL MEANS, LEA
s fairly and with respect
assist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cal thinking
cern and interest in students
is in a reasonable amount of time
oVERALL MEANS, T
THODS
and/or activities helped achiev
eps and/or activities helped achiev
eps activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y, I would take another course thro
y, I would take
another course thro
y, I would take another course thro
ion 28</pre> | ree objectives
ree objectives | gyments on schedule
 | nvolved in class activities
gements on schedule
rse objectives
oVERALL MEANS, LEA
s fairly and with respect
arry and impartially
arry and impartially
arry and interest in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cal thinking
thinking
overn and interest in students
s in a reasonable amount of time
overnand interest in students
the day or activities helped achiev
epts were presented clearly & und
s activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y, I would take another course thro
ion 28
ion 30 | nvolved in class activities
gnments on schedule | be able to apply info/SKLS learn
overalL MEANS, c
gyments on schedule
rese objectives | ds understanding of concepts & pr
be able to apply info/skls learn
oveFRALL MEANS, c
oveFRALL MEANS, c
gnments on schedule
res objectives
be subject area has increased
oveFRALL MEANS, LEA
s fairly and with respect
assist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cern and interest in students
cern and interest in students
is in a reasonable amount of time
oveFRALL MEANS, T
THODS
a activities helped achiev
epts were presented clearly & und
s activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y.I would take another course fro
ion 29
ion 30
 | ds understanding of concepts & pr
be able to apply info/skls Learn
OVERALL MEANS, C
nvolved in class activities
rse objectives
overaults, and understanding of the su
he subject area has increased
overault means, LEA
s fairly and with respect
assist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cal thinking
cern and interest in or outside of
thinksing criteria were e
, and/or activities helped achiev
ep, and/or activities helped achiev
tives and grading criteria were e
, and/or activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y, I would take another course thro
100 S FROM INSTRUCTOR
ion 29
ion 30 | lenged me to think | course
lenged me to think
be able to apply info/skls learn
overal in class activities
gyments on schedule
coverall MEANS, c
overall MEANS, c
overall MEANS, learn
ills, and understanding of the su
he subject area has increased
overall MEANS, LEA
s fairly and with respect
assist students in or outside of
aproaches to promote student lea
cal thinking
cern and interest in students
s in a reasonable amount of time
overall MEANS, THO
s and/or activities helped achiev
eps were presented clearly & und
s activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y, I would take another course fro
y, I would take another course thro
y, I would take another course thro
tion 28 | Lenged me to think
ds understanding of concepts & pr
be able to apply info/skls Learn
ovERALL MEANS, c
nvolved in class activities
rse objectives
rse objectives
ovERALL MEANS, c
ovERALL MEANS, c
atirly and with respect
assist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cal thinking
cern and interest in or outside of
thinks in a reasonable amount of time
ovERALL MEANS, The
s and/or activities helped achiev
ep, and/or activities helped achiev
tives and grading oriteria were e
, and/or activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y, I would take another course fro
ovERALL MEANS, INSTRUCTOR
ion 28 | ions
course
 | ions
course
lenged me to think
s understanding of concepts & pr
be able to apply info/skls learn
ovERALL MEANS, C
ovERALL MEANS, C
ovERALL MEANS, C
ills, and understanding of the su
is s fairly and with respect
assist students in or outside of
approaches to promote student lea
cern and interest in student lea
cern thinking
is in a reasonable amount of time
ovERALL MEANS, T
THODS
is activities were all were all were
s activities were all well organ
1 feedback on my course work thru
y.I would take another course fro
ovERALL MEANS, INSTRUCTOR
ion 28 | r, Shawn P
ions
course | r, Shawn P
ions
course
 | r, Shawn P
ions
course | ity
ity
ions
course |
| Female | Freshman
1 | ω Α | Gen Ed M
Requirement R
urse for you ? 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | 00000 | UCTIONAL METHODS> 9.1 | from this instructor 9.4
from this instructor 9.4
uCTIONAL METHODS> 9.1
0
 | hruout the semester 9.5
from this instructor 9.4
UCTIONAL METHODS> 9.1
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | ganized | ieve the stated obj. 9.4
understandable 8.8
ganized | e explained clearly. 8.3
ieve the stated obj. 9.4
understandable 8.8
ganized | e explained clearly. 8.3
ieve the stated obj. 9.4
understandable 8.2
ganized | , THE INSTRUCTOR> 9.4
e explained clearly. 8.3
ieve the stated obj. 9.4
understandable 9.2
hruout the semester. 9.5
from this instructor 9.4
UCTIONAL METHODS> 9.1
 | <pre>ime</pre> | THE INSTRUCTOR> 9.4
e explained clearly 8.3
ieve the stated obj. 9.4
understandable 8.8
ganized | rreprint the semester of the s | Learning
 | of class | 9.2
of class | 9.7
9.7
9.8
9.8
9.9
9.1
9.9
9.1
9.9
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.5
9.4
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5 | 9.7
9.7
9.7
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.5
1.1
9.7
9.5
1.1
9.5
1.1
9.5
1.1
9.5
1.1
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.5
 | LEARNER OUTCOMES> 9.0
 | LEARNER OUTCOMES> 9.0 9.7 of class | subject increased. 9.6
 | subject increased. 9.1 subject increased. 9.0 a.o. 8.0 c | subject increased. 9.1 subject increased. 9.6 | 8.9 subject increased. 9.1 9.1 8.0 LEARNER OUTCOMES> 9.0 of class. 9.1 1earning. 9.1 sume. 9.1 1earning. 9.1 9.1 9.2 of class. 9.1 9.1 9.2 of class. 9.1 1earning. 9.1 9.1 9.1 1earning. 9.2 1.1 9.1 1.2 9.1 1.3 9.2 1.4 9.1 1.5 9.2 1.6 9.1 1.7 9.1 1.8 9.2
 1.1 9.1 1.2 9.2 1.3 9.4 1.4 9.4 1.5 9.4 1.6 8.3 1.6 8.8 1.6 9.1 1.7 9.1 1.8 9.1 1.8 9.1 1.7 9 | countse content 8.9 subject increased. 9.1 subject increased. 9.2 of class. 9.2 of class. 9.2 sume. 9.2 ime. 9.2 sume. 9.2 ime. 9.2 sume. 9.2 sume. 9.2 sume. 9.4 invert the stated obj. 9.4 understandable. 9.2 ganized 9.2 understandable. 9.2 icverthis instructor 9.1 ucrinowith METHODS> 9.1 ucrinowith METHODS> 0 icvertion 0 | arred in this course 9.6 , COURSE CONTENT> 9.5 | principles | 9.4
arned in this course 9.5
subject increased. 9.6

 | 9.4
principles | 9.4 | armed in this course 9.5 armed in this semeter. 9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6 9.7 9.8 9.7 9.8 9.7 9.8 9.7 9.8 9.7 9.8 9.7 9.8 9.8 9.9 9.1 1.1 1.1 9.7 9.8 9.8 9.9 9.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 | Section Mean 9.4 principles 9.5 arned in this course 9.6 policiples 9.1 subject increased. 9.1 9.1 9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6 9.7 9.8 9.1 Subject increased. 9.1 Learning. 9.2 inte. 9.3 9.4 e explained clearly. 9.2 hruout the semester. 9.2 intruct the semester. 9.1 Incrom this instructor 0 I | Section
Mean
Mean
Principles
 | Section
Mean
Mean
principles | Section Mean Mean 9.4 | course content of class
 | Report on
Section
Mean
Principles |
| Male | Sophomore
7 | сл Б | Requirement
10 | Major/Minor | Major/Minor | Major/Minor | Major/Minor | Major/Minor | Major/Minor | Lowest Ratin
Major/Minor | Lowest Ratin
Major/Minor | 1.3
1.3
Lowest Ratin
Major/Minor | 1.0
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin
Major/Minor | 1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratir
Major/Minor | 0.8
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 1.9
0.8
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | Disagree Str
1.9
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin
Major/Minor | Disagree Str
1.9
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 0.8
Disagree Str
1.9
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin
Lowest Ratin | 1.6
0.8
0.8
1.9
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 1.1
1.6
0.8
1.9
0.8
1.9
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
1.3
1.3
Lowest Ratin
 | 1.1
1.9
0.8
Disagree Str
1.9
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 1.9
1.7
1.9
1.9
1.6
0.8
1.9
0.8
1.9
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 0.6
0.9
1.7
1.9
1.9
1.6
0.8
1.9
0.8
1.9
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 0.7
0.6
0.9
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.9
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
1.3
Lowest Ratin
 | Disagree Str
0.7
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | Disagree Str
0.7
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 2.2
Disagree Str
0.7
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.1
1.3
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
0.6
0.9
1.7
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.9
0.8
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1
 | 1.0
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.8
1.6
1.1
1.1
1.3
1.3
1.4
1.3
1.3
1.4
1.5
1.4
1.5
1.6
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6 | 1.4
0.9
1.0
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.1
1.6
1.1
1.8
1.6
1.1
1.1
1.3
1.3
1.4
 | None or the
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.1
1.6
1.1
1.8
1.8
1.6
1.1
1.8
1.8
1.6
1.1
1.1
1.3
1.8
1.6
1.1
1.3
1.4
1.6
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1
1.1 | None of the
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.7
1.8
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 1.3
None of the
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.5
2.8
1.9
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin | 0.8
1.3
None of the
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
1.3
Lowest Ratin
Major/Minor
 | 0.7
0.8
1.3
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6 | 1.3
0.7
0.8
1.3
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.7
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.6
1.1
1.6
1.1
1.6
1.1
1.8
1.4
0.8
1.9
1.9
1.9
1.1
1.4
1.9
1.9
1.1
1.4
1.5
1.9
1.1
1.4
1.5
1.5
1.9
1.1
1.4
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5 | Disagree Str
1.3
1.3
1.4
0.7
0.8
1.3
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.4
0.5
0.5
1.9
1.1
1.3
1.4
0.5
0.5
1.1
1.4
0.5
1.4
0.5
1.4
1.5
1.4
1.5
1.5
1.4
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5 | Disagree Str
1.3
0.7
0.8
1.3
None of the
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.6
0.8
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6 | nn Section
StDev.
Disagree Str
0.8
1.3
0.7
0.8
1.3
None of the
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.4
0.8
1.9
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin
 | nn Section
StDev.
Disagree Str
0.8
1.3
0.7
0.8
1.3
0.7
0.8
1.3
0.9
1.4
0.9
1.4
0.9
1.4
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.3
Lowest Ratin | section No:
Section No:
StDev.
Disagree Str
0.8
1.3
0.7
0.9
1.3
0.9
1.4
0.9
1.4
0.9
1.4
0.9
1.4
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.3
1.4
1.3
1.9
1.1
1.3
1.4
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5 | Course Name:
Section No:
Section No:
Disagree Str
Disagree Str
0.8
0.9
1.0
0.6
0.7
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
0.6
0.7
0.6
0.7
0.9
1.0
1.0
0.6
0.7
0.8
1.9
1.1
1.0
0.8
1.1
1.3
1.9
1.1
1.3
1.4
0.8
1.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.6
0.8
1.1
1.3
1.4
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
 | Course Name:
Course Name:
Section No:
Section No:
StDev.
Disagree Str
0.8
1.3
None of the
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
0.6
0.7
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.3
1.4
0.8
1.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.3
1.4
0.8
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.5 | <pre>I Student Eval
Course Name:
Section No:
Section No:
StDev.
Disagree Str
1.3
None of the
1.4
0.9
1.0
0.5
2.2
Disagree Str
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.9
1.1
1.3
0.8
1.6
0.8
1.6
1.6
1.6
1.1
1.3
Lowest Ratin</pre> |
| Missing | Junior
1 | 00 | Elective
0 | Major/Minor | 0
Major/Minor | 0
0
Major/Minor | 0
0
Major/Minor | 0
0
0
Major/Minor | 0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | ng (1)
0
0
0
0
0
0 | .ng (1)
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | .ng (1)
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | .ng (1)
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | ng (1)
ng (1)
ng (1)
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
0
0
0
0
0
0 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
0
0
0
0
0 | rongly (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | rongly (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
1 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
1 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
1
 | :rongly (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | rrong1y (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
1 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
1
 | rongly (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | rongly (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | 10
rongly (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
 | 10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
1 | rrongLy (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
 | I time (1) | Time (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | 10
Time (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | 10
Time (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
 | 10
Time (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | Time (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | rongly (1)
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | rongly (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | Number of
Responses
rongLy (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
 | Number of
Responses
rongly (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | Number of
Responses
Trongly (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | Statistic
211
00
Number of
Responses
Trongly (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
 | Statistic
211
00
Number of
Responses
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 | Luation of C
Statistic
211
00
Number of
Responses
rongly (1)t
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 |
| | Senior (
0 | 0 0 | r Free
Elective
0 | I | I | I | ſ | ſ | 1 | to Highest | to Highest | 0.0 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
to Highest | 0.0
8.6
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8 | 8.8
8.8
9.0
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8 | 8.7 8.
8.7 8.
9.0 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
to Hignest | 9.0 9.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
to Hignest | .to Agree St
9.0 9
8.7 8
8.8 8
9.0 8
9.0 8
9.0 8
8.8 8
to Highest | 9.1 9.
9.0 9.
9.0 9.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
to Highest | 9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.0 9.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.6 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
4.0 Highest | 9.0
9.1
9.1
9.0
9.0
9.0
9.0
8.7
8.8
8.7
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8 | 9.7
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.0
9.0
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8
 | 4.4
9.5
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.0
8.7
8.8
8.7
8.8
8.7
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8 | 4.1
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1 | 9.7
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1 | 9.2
9.7
9.7
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
 | .to Agree St
9.2 9.
9.7 9.
9.2 9.
9.2 9.
9.2 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.9 9.0 9.
9.0 9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.9 8.8 8.
8.9 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9 | .to Agree 8
9.7 9
9.7 9
9.7 9
9.7 9
9.2 9
9.1 8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8
8 | 7.7 8.
8.6 8.
9.2 9.
9.7 9.
9.1 9.
9.0 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 8.
8.8 8.8 | 9.1 9.
8.8.
9.2 9.
9.7 9.
9.1 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 | .to Agree St
9.7 8.
9.7 8.
9.2 9.
9.7 8.
9.2 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.1 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.9 8.
8.8 8.8
 | 8.8
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.7
9.2
9.7
9.7
9.7
9.7
9.7
9.7
9.7
9.7
9.7
9.7 | A.1 of r. B.3 9. 9.1 9. 7.7 8. 9.7 9. 9.7 9. 9.7 9. 9.7 9. 9.7 9. 9.7 9. 9.1 9. 8.8 8. | to All of the All of Al | 8.5
8.5
8.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.7
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.3
9.4
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.3
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.3
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.3
9.5
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.3
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
8.8
9.5
9.5
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.5
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.5
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.0
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.2
9.2
9.2
9.3
9.5
9.5
9.5
9.0
9.0
9.0
9.0
9.0
9.0
9.0
9.0
9.0
9.0 | 9.2
8.9
8.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9.3
9
 | 9.4 8.
9.2 8.9 8.
8.9 8.8 8.
9.1 0f th
9.3 9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.0 9.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.8 | 8.4
9.2
8.5
8.5
8.3
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1
9.1 | .to Agree St
9.2 8.
9.2 8.
9.2 8.
8.3 9.2 8.
8.3 9.2 8.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.2 9.
9.0 9.3 8.
8.8 8.8 | Intervent stor Agree stor Agree stor All stor Agree stor Stor stor Agree stor Agree stor Agree stor Stor stor Agree stor Stor stor Stor stor Agree stor Stor stor Hightest | Prof Der
Mean Mea
to Agree St
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.2 8.
9.3 9.2 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.2 8.
8.8 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.7 9.
9.1 9.2 9.
9.1 9.2 9.
9.1 9.2 9.
9.1 9.2 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 8.
8.7 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.6 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.2 8.
9.0 9.3 9.
9.1 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 | Prof Der Mean Mea Mean Mea 9.1 9.2 8.3 9.4 9.3 9.3 9.4 8.5 8.5 8.8 9.1 9.7 9.1 9.2 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.2 9.1 9.3 9.0 8.8 8.8 <td>Prof Der Mean Mea Mean Mea 9.2 8. 9.4 8. 9.2 8. 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.1 9.7 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.1 9.7 9.1 9.2 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.0 8.8 8.8</td> <td> Arc
 Arc
Mean Mean Mean
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4
8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.1 9.
9.7 9.
9.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.8 8.
9.0 9.1 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8</td> <td> Arc
 Arc
Mean Mea
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.2 8.
9.4 8.
9.2 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.2 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8</td> <td>Course and J
course and Exper-
 Arc
Mean Mea
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
7.7 8.
8.8 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
7.7 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.2 8.
8.8 8.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
8.8 8.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
8.8 8.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.2 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
8.8 8.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
9.0 8.</td> | Prof Der Mean Mea Mean Mea 9.2 8. 9.4 8. 9.2 8. 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.1 9.7 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.7 9.3 9.1 9.7 9.1 9.2 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.1 9.3 9.0 8.8 8.8 | Arc
Arc
Mean Mean Mean
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.1 9.
9.7 9.
9.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.8 8.
9.0 9.1 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 | Arc
Arc
Mean Mea
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.2 8.
9.4 8.
9.2 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.2 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.7 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.0 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.7 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.8 | Course and J
course and Exper-
Arc
Mean Mea
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.4 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
7.7 8.
8.8 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
7.7 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.2 8.
8.8 8.
9.1 9.1 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.3 9.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
8.8 8.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
8.8 8.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
9.2 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
8.8 8.
9.1 9.
9.1 9.
8.4 8.
8.8 8.
9.0 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
9.0 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.8 8.
8.9 9.
9.0 8.
9.0 8. |
| | Graduate
0 | 0 1 | Other
O | | | | | | | Rating 10) | .7 8.7
Rating 10) | .6 8.6
.7 8.7
Rating 10) | .5 8.6
.6 8.6
.7 8.7
Rating 10) | | .6 8.3
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.6 8.6
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
10) | .1 9.0
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.5 8.6
.6 8.6
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
10
10
10 | trongly (10
.1 9.0
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.6 8.6
.6 8.6
8.7
8.7
Rating 10) | .1 9.1
trongly (10
.1 9.0
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.5 8.6
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
Rating 10] | .1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.0
.6 8.3
.7 8.7
.8 8.6
.5 8.6
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.1 9.1 | .0 9.1
.1 9.1
.2 8.7
8.7 8.7
.5 8.6
8.7 8.7
.7 8.7 8.7
.7 | .0 8.9
.0 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.0
.1 9.0
.6 8.3
.7 8.7
.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8 | | .1 9.1
.3 8.9
.0 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
trongly (10
.6 8.3
.5 8.6
.5 8.6
.6 8.7
.7 9.7
.7 9 | .3 9.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.7 8.5
.5 8.6
8.7 8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7 | .4 9.4
.5 9.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
trongly (10
.6 8.9
.7 8.7
.8 8.7
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.8 8.7
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.5 8.8
.5 8.9
.1 8.9
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.5 8.8
.5 8.5
.5 8.6
.5 8.7
.5 8.6
.7 8.7
.1 9.1
.1 9 | trongly (10
.4 9.4
.3 9.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
trongly (10
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
trongly (10
.5 8.6
.5 8.6
.5 8.7
.7 8.7 8.7
.7 8 | .8.9
.5.9.4
.5.9.4
.3.9.4
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.5.8
.8.5
.5.8
.8.5
.5.8
.8.5
.5.8
.8.5
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.8.7
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.7
.8.7
.7.8
.7.7
.8.7
.7.8
.7.7
.8.7
.7.8
.7.7
.8.7
.7.8
.7.7
.8.7
.7.8
.7.7
.8.7
.7.8
.7.7
.8.7
.7.8
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.8.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7 | .3 8.3
.8 8.9
trongly (100
.5 9.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
trongly (10
.6 8.5
8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.7
.7 8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.7
.1 8.7
.8 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1 | .0 9.0
.3 8.3
.8 8.9
.7 8.9
.4 9.4
.4 9.4
.1 9.1
.1 8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.7
.1 | .2 9.3
.3 8.3
.4 9.0
.5 9.0
.4 9.0
.4 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.5 8.6
.5 8.6
.5 8.6
8.7
.8 8.7
.7 8.7
.8 8.7
.1 8.7
.2 8.7
.3 8.7
.1 8. | | na Lime (10
na 18.7
.4 9.4
.2 9.3
.0 9.0
4 9.4
1.3 8.3
.3 8.3
.4 9.4
1.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.5 8.5
.6 8.6
.5 8.7
.8 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.2 8.7
.3 8.7
.3 8.7
.3 8.7
.4 8.7
.5 8.7
.5 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.1 8.7
.2 8.7
.3 8.7
.3 8.7
.4 8.7
.5 8.7
.5 8.7
.1 8.7 | ne Time (10
.3 I and 1
.3 I and 1
.4 9.4
.4 9.4
.5 9.3
.8 9.0
.0 9.1
.1 8.5
.5 8.6
8.7
.7 8.7
.8 8.7
.7 8.7 8.7
.7 8.7 8.7
.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8 | .8.8.9
.8.8.9
.3.8.7
.4.9.4
1.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.8.8
8.9
.0.9
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.9.1
.1.8.8
.5.8.8
.5.8.8
.6.8.8
.7.8
.5.8.8
.6.8.8
.7.8
.5.8.8
.6.8.8
.7.8
.5.8.8
.7.8
.5.8.8
.7.8
.5.8.8
.7.8
.7.8
.5.8.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.8
.7.7
.7.8
.7.7
.7.8
.7.7
.5.5
.5.8
.6.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7
.7.7 | .9 8.8
.8 8.9
.8 8.7
.9 Time (10
.3 8.7
.2 9.4
.4 9.4
.3 8.7
.0 9.1
.1 9 | .7 8.5
.8 8.9
.8 8.9
.8 8.9
.8 8.9
.3 8.8
.9 8.8
.0 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
trongly (10
.0 9.1
.1 9 | | trongly (10
 | trongly (10
.6
.7
.8
.8
.8
.8
.8
.8
.8
.8
.8
.8 | colleg an Mean trongly (10 .6 8.6 .7 8.5 .9 8.8 .8 8.7 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .3 9.4 .3 9.4 .1 9.3 .3 9.4 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .2 8.8 .3 8.4 .4 8.7 | colleg an Mean an Mean trongly (10 .6 8.6 .7 8.5 .9 8.8 .9 8.8 .1 9.4 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .3 9.4 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .2 8.8 .3 8.4 .7 8.7 | Survey Colleg an Mean an Mean .1 Mean .2 8.8 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .3 8.7 .4 9.4 .5 9.4 .6 9.3 .7 8.3 .8 9.4 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .1 9.1 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .8 8.7 .7 8.7 .7 8.7 .7< | r Design 1
prival Data
2 trongly (10
1 Colleg
3 n Mean
1 Mean
1 Mean
1 S. 8.8
.9
.8
.8
.9
.8
.8
.9
.3
.3
.4
.4
.5
.0
.0
.1
.1
.1
.1
.1
.1
.1
.1
.1
.1 | r Design 1
chival Data
pt Colleg
an Mean
trongly (10
.6 8.6
.7 8.5
.9 8.8
.8 8.7
.4 9.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
trongly (10
.3 8.7
.3 8.7
.3 8.7
.3 8.3
.3 8.3
.3 8.3
.3 8.3
.3 8.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 8.5
.6 8.6
.7 8.7
.8 8.9
.0 9.0
.0 9.0
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 8.5
.5 8.6
.6 8.6
.7 8.7
.8 8.9
.0 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 8.7
.8 8.7
.8 8.9
.0 9.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.7 8.7
.8 8.7
.7 8.5
.5 8.6
.6 8.6
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7 | Instruction
r Design 1
pt Colleg
an Mean
trongly (10
.6 8.6
.7 8.5
.9 8.8
.8 8.7
.4 9.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 8.8
.7 8.5
.0 8.9
.0 9.0
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 8.5
.0 8.9
.0 8.9
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 8.5
.0 8.6
.0 8.9
.0 8.6
.0 8.9
.0 8.8
.0 8.5
.0 8.6
.0 8.6
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 8.7
.5 8.6
.6 8.6
.7 8.7
.7 8.5
.0 8.9
.0 8.9
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 8.7
.5 8.6
.6 8.6
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
.7 8.5
.0 8.9
.0 8.9
.0 8.9
.0 8.9
.0 8.9
.0 8.4
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.1 9.1
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.7 8.7
.5 8.6
.7 8.7
.7 8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7
8.7 |
| | Other
0 | Missing
2 | Missing
O | | | | | | | | © 0 | α α α α
ο σ τ | ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜູ້ຜ | 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 8 | ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο | 8888899
8888899
65577640 |)
88.5
6.5
7
6 |)
9.0
8.5
5.5
6.5
7
6 | с)
9.0
8.889.0
8.55.540
6.55.540 |)
)
8 8 8 8 9 9 9 8
8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9
8 8 8 8 | ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
ο
 | ς
του του του του του του του του του του |)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) | ουουουουουουουουουουουουουουουουουουου | 0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
 | 2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2)
2 |)
3)
3)
3)
5)
5)
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5 |)
5)
5)
88.88
8.55
5.5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5 |)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
 | 0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | ى
بە بو بە
 | , τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ τ | ر ((() | ر (((((((((((((((((((|)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
 |)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) |)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) | ye Univ
Mean
3)
3)
3)
3)
3)
3)
3)
3)
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
 | y
y
Univ
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
()
() |)
j
j
j
j
j
j
j
j
j
j
j
j
j | ye Univ
ye Univ
Nean
8.5
9.0
9.3
9.4
8.6
8.5
8.6
8.5
8.6
8.5
8.5
8.5
8.5
8.5
8.5
8.5
8.5
 |)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) |)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Missing
1 | | | | 0 | | | 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 | | | | | 000 - 00000
000 00000
000 00000 | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
 | | | |
 | |
 | | | |
 | | | | | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
 | | |
| | | | | | 0 0 0 | 000000 | | | | | Frequencies | Frequencies
5 6 7 8
0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 | Frequencies | Frequencies | Frequencies | Frequencies
0 0 0 1 4
Frequencies
0 0 0 0 1
5 6 7 8
0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 1
0 1 1
0 0 0
0 1 1
0 1 1
0 0 0
0 1 1
0 1 1
0 0 0
0 0 1
0 1 1
0 0 0
0 0 0
0 0 1
0 1 1
0 0
0 0 | Frequencies
6 7 8
7 8
6 7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8 | Frequencies
5 6 7 8
0 0 1 4
0 0 1 4
0 0 1 1
1 0 0 1 1
5 6 7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8
7 8 | Frequencies | Frequencias - 2 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 | Frequencies 5 6 7 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1
 | Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequ | Frequencies
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Frequencies
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
C 0 0 0 1
Frequencies
C 0 0 0 1
Frequencies
C 0 0 0 1
C 0 0 0 0
C | 5 6 7 8
6 7 8
7 8
6 7 8
6 7 8
7 9
7 9
7 9
7 9
7 9
7 9
7 9
7 9
 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Frequencies | Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequencies
Frequ | Frequencies 7 7 7 5 6 7 1 1 5 6 7 1 1 6 0 0 1 1 1 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 8 7 8 1
 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>Frequencies - 5 6 6 1 7 8 6 0 7 8 8 0 9 0 1 1</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>Frequencies 6 7 8 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1</td> <td>$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Frequencies & \\ Frequencies &$</td> <td>Frequencies 6 7 8 9 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1<!--</td--><td>Frequencies 6 7 8 7 9 0 9 0 1 1 1 0 2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 1 1 <tr< td=""></tr<></td></td> | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 | Frequencies - 5 6 6 1 7 8 6 0 7 8 8 0 9 0 1 1 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 | Frequencies 6 7 8 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Frequencies & \\ Frequencies &$ | Frequencies 6 7 8 9 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 </td <td>Frequencies 6 7 8 7 9 0 9 0 1 1 1 0 2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 1 1 <tr< td=""></tr<></td> | Frequencies 6 7 8 7 9 0 9 0 1 1 1 0 2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 1 1 <tr< td=""></tr<> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0 | 000 | 000 | 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 | | | | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V
V | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
 | | × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × | 200000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
00000
000000 |
 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | V 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 4 - ^V - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
 | | - 2 - 0 2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
 | » - » - 0 9 9 9 0 0 9 9 4 9 8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × | 0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 | 20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
 | 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 | | 8
8
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Rate: 77% | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ALL 2017
ALL 2017
ALL 2017
ALL 2017
Anses: 10
Anses: 10
Anate: 77%
8 9 10
1 4 5
1 6
1 4 6
1 4 5
1 6
1 4 6
1 4 6
1 4 6
1 4 7
0 1 6
1 6
1 6
1 7
8 9 10
8 9 10
1 6
1 6
1 7
8 9 10
8 9 10
1 6
1 7
8 9 10
8 9 10
1 6
1 6
1 7
8 9 10
1 8
1 7
8
1 7
8
10
8
10
8
10
8
10
10
8
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10 |

Wed, Dec 13, 2017 Millersville University	rt on Student Eval	Luation of C	ourse and	Instructior					PAGE FALL 2	38 2017	
Eval ID: 471601 Department: PSYC Professor: Gallagher, Shawn P	Course Name: Course No: Section No:	Statistic 212 01	s and Exp < A	er Design 2 chival Data	^			Enro] Resp Response	llment: ponses: e Rate:	20 18 90%	
S. Evaluation Questions	ection Section Mean StDev	Number of Responses	Prof D Mean M	ept Colleç ean Mean	e Univ Mean		Fre	duencies -			
COURSE CONTENT	Disagree Str	ongly (1)	to Agree	strongly (10		1	3 4 5	6 7	6 0 8 0	10	
1. I recommend this course	8.4 2.5	18	8. 4 4. 4	3.6 8.6	8 0 4 1		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	= ;	
 IIIS COURSE CNALLENGED ME TO TAIAK	9.6 1.2 8.0 2.2	8	4.0 4.0	0.8 /.9 8 8 0 8	0.0 7	 			, , ,	4 ¢	
 IIILS COULSE DULLUS UNDER STANDARY OF CONCEPTS A PLANATES 4 I can/blv I will be able to apply info/skls learned in this course 	8.4 2.2 8.4 2.3	<u>0</u>	, r 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	8.4		- c	, c	ч .	<u>v</u> σ	
OVERALL MEANS CONTRACT OF A CO	8.8	2	6.8	8.8 8.7	8.5	•	Fre			•	
LEARNER OUTCOMES	None of the	Time (1)t	o All of	the Time (10	(1 2	345	6 7	6 8	10	
5. I was actively involved in class activities	8.6 2.3	18	8 3	3.3 8.7	8.3	1	0 0	0	4 0 3	6	
6. I completed assignments on schedule	9.6 0.9	0 +	6.0 0	9.4 9.4	ი. ი	00	0 0 0 0	- ·	, 0 , 0	13	
/. I met stated course opjectryes	0.9	<u>0</u>	0 F	0.0 0.0	2.5 2.8	 	- c		0 F	= ‡	
9. My interest in the subject area has increased	7.4 2.3	2 8	7.7	8.3 8.3	8.0	- 0	0 2 0	0 0	. t		
OVERALL MEANS, LEARNER OUTCOMES>	8.7		8.6	3.8 8.9	8.7		< Fre	quencies .	^		
THE INSTRUCTOR	Disagree Str	ongly (1)	to Agree	strongly (10	0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7	8 8	10	
10. treated the class fairly and with respect	9.2 1.4	18	9.2	9.4 9.4	9.4	0	0	5	с Г	12	
11. showed enthusiasm for teaching	9.7 1.0	8 G	9.7	9.5 9.4	6.0 0.0	00	0 + 0 + 0 0		0 0	15	
12. yraueu my work lauriy anu impartiatiy	8.7 1.7	0 8	- 6	9.1 9.1	9.1 1.6		- 0		1 CI	2 @	
14. varied teaching approaches to promote student learning	8.4 2.1	9 18	8.4 8	8.5 8.5	8.2	, o	0 1 0 1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 01 4 4	0 00	
15. encouraged critical thinking	9.6 1.2	18	9.5	9.0 8.9	8.8	0	0 0	0	-	15	
16. demonstrated concern and interest in students	9.1 1.8	18	9.0	9.0 9.1	8.9	000	1 0 0	0	з 1	12	
17. returned materials in a reasonable amount of time	9.4 1.0	18	9.1	9.1 9.1	0.6	0 0	0 0 0	0 2	1 2	13	
OVERALL MEANS, THE INSTRUCTOR>	9.1		9.1	9.1 9.1	9.0		< Fre	quencies .	·		
INSTRUCTIONAL METHODS	Disagree Str	rongly (1).	to Agree	strongly (10		1 2	3 4 5	67	8 8	10	
18. The course objectives and grading criteria were explained clearly.	8.6 2.3	18	0.0	9.1 9.0	9.0	0	1 1 0	2 0	1 2	=	
19. Texts, materials, and/or activities helped achieve the stated obj.	8.8 2.0	18	9.0	3.6 8.3	8.4	0	1 0 1	0	4	10	
20. Information/concepts were presented clearly & understandable	9.1 1.9	18	8.7	8.7 8.7	8.6	0	1 0 0	-	0 0	12	
21. The lectures/class activities were all well organized	8.9 1.9	18	8.8	8.8 8.7	8.7	0 0	- 1 - 0 - 0	·	- , 4 (<u></u>	
22. I received useful feedback on my course work thruout the semester.	9.0 1.9	8 9	9 0 8 0	3.5 8.6 6 8.6	0 u 0			- c	N 0		
20. ATVELL UPPUTTUTTY,1 WULLU LANE ALUCHET CUULSE TUTTTANAL METHODS	4 0.0 4	0	ດ. ແ ຄ	0.0 7 8 7 8 7	0 4 0 4	5		u c dilancias.		2	
ADDITIONAL DIFSTIONS FROM INSTRUCTOR	lowest Ratir	1) JU	to Hiches	t Batino 10	2	1 2	3 4 5	2 9	6	10	
28. Additional question 28	0	0	0	0		0	000	0	0	0	
29. Additional question 29	0	0				000	0 0 0	0	0	0	
30. Additional question 30	0	0				000	0 0 0	0	0	0	
31. Additional question 31	0	0				0	0 0 0	000	0	0	
32. Additional question 32	0	0				0	0 0 0	0	0	0	
Gen	Ed Major/Minor	Major/Minor	Free								
Require	ment Requirement	Elective	Elective	Other	Missing						
24. Which of the following best describes this course for you ?	15	0	0	0	5						
A	в	U	D	u.	Missing						
25. I expect to receive this grade in this class :	10	2	0	0	- -						
FTPSD	man Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate	Other	Missino					
26. My class level : 0	-	2ı	F	0	0	-					
	le Male	Missina									
27. My gender :	3 4										

	,										Missing 2	Male 6	nale 12	Fen My gender :	27.	
					ŋg	Missi 2	other I	iate 0	Gradu 0	Senior 8	Junior 7	Sophomore 3	shman 0	Fres My class level :	26.	
							ssing 4	M.	0 1	00	04	യയ	ωΑ	I expect to receive this grade in this class :	25.	
							ssing 2	ier Mi	e Oth	r Free Electiv 0	Major/Minc Elective 0	Major/Minor Requirement 17	n Ed nement 1	Ger Requir Which of the following best describes this course for you ?	24.	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-				0		: 0	Additional question 32	32./	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_				0		: 0	Additional question 31	31./	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_				0		: 0	Additional question 30	30. /	
00	00	0 0	0 0	00	0 0	00					0 0		: : o c	Additional question 29	29. /	
9 10	0 7 8	ი	οσ	4 0	ົພ	, <u>-</u> , N		.ng 10)	est Rati	to High	ng (1)	Lowest Rati	>	ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM INSTRUCTOR	5	
~	Sé	uenci	Freq		^		8.6	8.7	8.7	8.8			-> 9.2	OVERALL MEANS, INSTRUCTIONAL METHODS	1	
4 13	י ר - נ	<u> </u>	0 -	0 0	0 0	00	8.5	8.6 .6	8.6	9.0	20	1.1	or 9.4	i received deerdat recovery on my course work in dour this instructo Given opportunity.I would take another course from this instructo	23.	
4 13	。 。				- c	 	» л л - л	8 8 8 7	α πα	α α α	9 Z2	1.0	, ; o c o c	The Lectures/class activities were all well organized	3 .	
3 14	0 0 0	0	, o	ب د	• 0	, 0 , 0	8.6	8.7	8.7	8.7	20	1.4	· 9.4	Information/concepts were presented clearly & understandable	20.1	
3 12	03	0	0	0	0	0	8.4	8.3	8.6	9.0	20	2.7	j. 8.7	Texts, materials, and/or activities helped achieve the stated obj	19.1	
2 15	0 2	0	0	-	0	0	9.0 (9.0	9.1	9.0	20	1.4	. 9.4	The course objectives and grading criteria were explained clearly	18. T	
9 10	78	6	σ	4	ω	22		Ly (10)	e Strong	.to Agre	rongly (1).	Disagree St		INSTRUCTIONAL METHODS	ш	
• •		uenci	Freq	! .	^		9.0	9.1	9.1	9.1	;		v 9.5	OVERALL MEANS, THE INSTRUCTOR		
2 17	- C C	0 -	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0_0_0	9.1	9.0	9.1	20	0.5	9 4 9 4	demonstrated concern and interest in students	10.	
3 12	0 0 0	- c	، د				0 8.8 0 8.8		9.0	0 9 0 0	20	- 0.4	9.9	encouraged critical thinking	15.	
4 12	0 0 0	0	N N	0	, 0	, 0 , 0	8.2	0 8.5	8.3	8.4	20	1.6	9.1	varied teaching approaches to promote student learning	14. \	
1 15	-1 З	0	0	0	0	0	9.1 (9.1	9.1	9.2	20	0.9	9.5	was available to assist students in or outside of class	13. w	
2 15	1 0	0	N	0	0	0	9.3 (9.4	9.3	9.1	20	1.6	9.3	graded my work fairly and impartially	12.0	
0 18	2	0	0	0	0	0	9.3	9.4	9.5	9.7	20	0.6	. 9.8	showed enthusiasm for teaching	======================================	
3 10 14	 - 0	0 0	<u>ــ</u> ر	04	0 (0 1	9.4	1y (10) 9.4	פ ארו טווש 9,4	- LU AUIE	20 20	лгэддгөв он 1.3	9.4	The INVIRUCION the class fairly and with respect	5 + -	
9 10	8 2	9 TOLED	л ц	4	ہ ¦ د	0	8./ 1	8.9 1v (10)	a 8.8	to Acre	nonaly (1)	Disarraa Cti	ν α.υ	UVEHALL MEANS, LEAHNEH UUICUMES	4	
, У У	0 7	ienci.	5		` .	0	8.0	8 8 0 3	ວ 0 ວີວ ບີ	9.7 8	20	2.5	, . , 7.3	My interest in the subject area has increased	9. N	
1 17	, - , -	0	1 -	. 0	. 0	0	8.8	9.0	9.0	9.1	20	1.2	9.6	My knowledge, skills, and understanding of the subject increased.	8. N	
3 12	0 5	0	0	0	0	0	9.2 (9.3	9.2	8.8	20	0.9	9.4	I met stated course objectives	7. I	
1 17		0	0	0	0	0	9.3 (9.4	9.4	9.3	20	0.8	. 9.7	I completed assignments on schedule	6. I	
3 10	0 5	0	-	-	0	0	8.3 (8.7	8.3	8.3	20	1.7	8.8	I was actively involved in class activities	5. I	
9 10	7 0	6	יים גייס גייס	4	ω	N	-	me (10)	f the Ti	to All o	Time (1)	None of the		I FARNER OUTCOMES	_	
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	й г 	lencie	Fred				х о л +	8 7 9	2 0 2 0	α ο ο	20	1.0	у 6 9 9 7 4	I CAN/DIV I WILL DE ADIE TO APPLY INTO/SKIS LEARNED IN THIS COURS	4. 1	
2 1 16	c	0	, o	, o	。 。	, 0 , 0	8.7	0 8 0 8	.9 .9	9.2	19	0.8	. 9.7	This course builds understanding of concepts & principles		
3 16	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	8.5 (8.5	8.7	9.4	20	0.6	. 9.8	This course challenged me to think	2. T	
5 11	0 2	-	-	0	0	0	8.4 (8.6	8.6	8.4	20	1.4	9.1	I recommend this course	1. I	
9 10	7 8	6	თ	4	ω	2	_	ly (10)	e Strong	to Agre	rongly (1).	Disagree Str		COURSE CONTENT		
>	¥S	uenci	Freq		٨		Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Responses	StDev	Mean	Evaluation Questions	m	
							Jniv	College	Dept	Prof	Number of	n Section	Sectio			
ite: 100%	onse Ra	Respo									00	Section No:		essor: Gallagher, Shawn P	Profe	
∍nt: 20 ;es: 20	ırollm∈ \espons	т Ц					~	ign 2 1 Data	xper Des Archiva	cs and E	Statisti 212	Course Name: Course No:		ID: 731601 rtment: PSYC	Eval Depar	
-L 2017	FAL							uction	nd Instr	Course a	Luation of	Student Eval	ort on	Dec 13, 2017 Rep	Wed, Mille	
2 1 2 2 2	-													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	

Ŷ

EVALUATION FORM B COMPLETED BY OBSERVER Department of Psychology

Faculty member observed: Shawn Gallagher Faculty observer: Fred Foster-Clark (Chair) **Date:** December 1, 2017 (10 am) **Course:** Psyc 212 Stats & Design II

OBJECTIVES:

In Dr. Gallagher's words, he planned "to introduce the chi-square test for goodness of fit and I expect that the students will be able to complete at least two different sample problems before the end of class. I expect to explain the difference between parametric and non-parametric tests and to show students that, although the chi-square test is a nonparametric test, it is still derived from a ratio (or fraction) that compares expected outcomes to observed outcomes and, as such, follows a format similar to the inferential statistics that they already know (z, t, F, r)."

PROCESS (Instructional Organization, Strategy, Materials):

Class began with brief discussion of the last research article reading assignment for the semester. Dr. Gallagher explained how correlations and two-way ANOVAs often appear in the literature. He then lectured about chi square tests, beginning with a brief exposition about non-parametric tests and then showing the general formula for the chi square statistic. Here and throughout the class, Dr. Gallagher asked questions to elicit student participation. More often than not, he seemed to call on students randomly rather than wait for volunteers. He then demonstrated how to solve a simple chi square problem based upon coin flips. He used the chalkboard actively to complete the problem with the aid of student input. He displayed the chi square table of critical values via computer projection and reviewed its use. Dr. Gallagher then engaged the class in another "one-way" chi square problem by having the students generate current movie names and filling in hypothetical national box office sales figures for the expected values. As with the first problem, Dr. Gallagher led the students through the steps necessary to solve the problem and evaluate the hypothesis that his local movie theater sales figures matched the national trends. After completing this second demonstration problem, he then named the test they had been doing and explained it in relation to the two examples they had used. He then previewed the type of chi square test (test for independence) that would be covered in the next class and dismissed class with a reminder about Monday's quiz.

CLASS RESPONSE (Attentiveness, Questions Raised, Responses to Inquiry, Discussion):

Class was attentive throughout the period, occasionally asking and answering questions and consistently engaging with the calculations for the demonstration problems. Students seemed

Signature of Faculty Observer: Aulin & Date: 1/4/18

comfortable in the classroom environment and were generally able to answer the questions they were asked, at times with just a little assistance from the instructor. At one point, a student correctly challenged the way that Dr. Gallagher had written the results of the statistical test on the board. He had inadvertently reversed the "less than" symbol in presenting the p value. Students only occasionally had questions of their own, but this was not surprising given the nature of the topic.

TEACHER RESPONSE (Enthusiasm, Motivation, Attitude, Quality of Communication):

Dr. Gallagher is a seasoned instructor who appeared to have an excellent rapport with his students. There was occasional good-natured banter, e.g., around a student's use of a calculator for an easy calculation and when Dr. Banna dropped off a cup of coffee for Dr. Gallagher. But even in kidding with the student about her reliance on the calculator, he still was able to plug an important teaching point about "resisting the calculator impulse." His verbal style, pacing, and use of the chalkboard were effective and kept the students engaged and motivated. Even with material he has obviously taught many times over, he maintains a freshness with his examples and teaches with enthusiasm and concern.

CONCLUSIONS:

Dr. Gallagher enjoys an outstanding reputation for his teaching, and it is easy to see why. His teaching techniques and lecture style are clear and effective. Even in a statistics class and with students who have previously shown struggles with the material, he has created a comfortable but engaging classroom environment and maintains an excellent rapport with students.

Response by Observed Faculty Member (if desired): (Attach response to completed form).

Signature of Observed Faculty Member: $\underline{\int \int \frac{\partial e}{\partial e}}$ Date: $\underline{1/5/16}$ (Signature indicates this completed form has been read but does not necessarily indicate agreement with its contents.)

Signature of Faculty Observer: Andered & Jush Man Date: 1/4/18

VISUAL COMMUNICATIONS JOURNAL



FALL 2017

Acknowledgements

Editor

Dan Wilson, Illinois State University

Editorial Review Board

Cynthia Carlton-Thompson, North Carolina A&T State University Bob Chung, Rochester Institute of Technology John Craft, Appalachian State University Christopher Lantz, Western Illinois University Devang Mehta, North Carolina A&T State University Tom Schildgen, Arizona State University Mark Snyder, Millersville University James Tenorio, University of Wisconsin-Stout Renmei Xu, Ball State University

Cover Design Conner Fredrick, Western Technical College

Instructor, Barbara Fischer

Page Design, Formatting, and Prepress

Lorraine Donegan, Janet Oglesby, Can Le

Printing, Bindery, and Distribution

Harold Halliday, University of Houston University of Houston Printing and Postal Services

About the Journal

The Visual Communications Journal serves as the official journal of the Graphic Communications Education Association, and provides a professional communicative link for educators and industry personnel associated with design, presentation, management, and reproduction of graphic forms of communication. Manuscripts submitted for publication are subject to peer review. The views and opinions expressed herein are those of authors and do not necessarily reflect the policy or the views of the GCEA.

Article Submission

Please follow the guidelines provided at the back of this Journal.

Membership and Subscription Information

Information about membership in the Association or subscription to the Journal should be directed to the GCEA First Vice-President.

Reference Sources

The Visual Communications Journal can be found on EBSCOHost databases. ISSN: Print: 0507-1658 Web: 2155-2428



GRAPHIC NUNICATIONS

GCEA Board of Directors

President – Malcolm Keif Cal Poly University Graphic Communication San Luis Obispo, CA 93407 805-756-2500 president@gceaonline.org

President-Elect – Lexa Browning-Needham

J. B. Johnson Career & Technical Center at Alton High School 4200 Humbert Rd. Alton, IL 62002 (618) 474-2205 presidentelect@gceaonline.org

First Vice-President (Publications)

Gabe Grant Eastern Illinois University School of Technology 600 Lincoln Avenue Charleston, IL 61920 (217) 581-3372 firstvp@gceaonline.org

Second Vice-President (Membership)

Can Le University of Houston 312 Technology Bldg. Houston, TX 77204-4023 (713) 743-4082

secondvp@gceaonline.org

Secretary – Laura Roberts Mattoon High School 2521 Walnut Avenue Mattoon, IL 61938 (217) 238-7785 secretary@gceaonline.org

Treasurer – Pradeep Mishra

Arkansas State University P.O. Box 1930 State University, AR 72467 (870) 972-3114

treasurer@gceaonline.org

Immediate Past President – Mike Stinnett Royal Oak High School (Ret.) 21800 Morley Ave. Apt 517 Dearborn, MI 48124 (313) 605-5904 pastpresident@gceaonline.org

Performance of Grapheme-Color Synesthetes on a Color Sorting Task that Employs Graphemes

Faith McConnell • Shawn P. Gallagher, Ph.D. • Mark Snyder, Ed.D. • Millersville University of Pennsylvania

Introduction

Color perception is not universal and, for the people who do have color vision, it still may vary. As many as 8% of men have an inherited deficiency in color perception and a small fraction of women (less than 1%) have the ability to see an unusually wide variety of colors (Gegenfurtner & Sharpe, 1999). This variation is often due to differences in the anatomy of the human eye (e.g. photoreceptor deficiencies) but some of it is due to how the brain processes color. Some people even experience specific, vivid colors when viewing particular printed letters, regardless of the text's color. These people have one form of an unusual condition called synesthesia. Brain scientists believe that these strange experiences are due to "crossed wires" in the brain that activate color detecting parts of the brain whenever specific letters or numbers are viewed (Ramachandran & Hubbard, 2001). Although unusual, synesthesia is not rare and may affect as many as 1 in 200 people (Ramachandran & Hubbard, 2001). Few of these people (called synesthetes), however, realize that their experiences are atypical, but some know that they are unusually particular about color choices and select font colors that "fit" the characters and symbols in their creative works (unpublished observations). For example, one of our student synesthetes printed his resume using only dark green and brown text because, according to him, these colors "matched" his two initials which appeared in bold capitalized text at the top of the document.

Our aim is to draw attention to this fascinating phenomenon and determine if synesthesia shapes the perception of text, or if it is an intermittent experience that can be "tuned out" when, for example, the demands of a printing project contradict a synesthete's perception of congruence. We believe that our findings shed light on a little-known phenomenon that may be affecting the subjective nature of design and experience.

Testing Color Vision

The human eye contains two kinds of light-sensitive cells that convert light to a neural message that the brain can process. Rods are cells that operate in low light conditions and provide no color information; cones function when light is abundant and create the foundation for color vision. Color perception, therefore, starts with the cones in our eyes which respond best to short (usually seen as blue), medium (usually seen as green or orange), and long-wavelength (usually seen as red) light. Fewer than five percent of people have atypical color vision due to non-functioning cones and, at least in the United States, these people are often diagnosed as children during routine eye exams. Other differences in color perception are more difficult to identify. Although most people see color using the same three cones, the cortical (or brain-based) part of color perception is equally important. Cortical processing, like image processing software, may compromise or enhance color vision in ways that are more difficult to detect.

The Farnsworth-Munsell 100 Hue Test (100 Hue Test, X-Rite, Grand Rapids MI) uses color-sorting to measure color discrimination ability (Figure 1). The test can, of course, diagnose typical forms of color blindness, but it can also be used to monitor slow changes in color perception caused by eye diseases. The test consists of four trays of 85 sortable colored caps that span the visible spectrum and the objective is to arrange the caps into a spectrum of hues that varies progressively from one color to the next. Interested readers can explore a publicly available version of the task by Daniel Flück (www.color-blindness.com). This computer-based test is automatically administered and scored but is otherwise like the original. Instead of manually sorting disks on a table, participants use a computer mouse to sort colored tiles displayed on a monitor (Figure 1).



Figure 1: (Above) The Farnsworth-Munsell 100 Hue Test (100 Hue Test, X-Rite, Grand Rapids MI) uses colorsorting to measure color discrimination ability. (Below) The participants use a computer mouse to sort colored tiles displayed on a monitor This computer-based test is automatically administered and scored but is otherwise like the original.



Synesthesia

Synesthesia is a perceptual phenomenon in which stimuli in one sensory modality evoke experiences in another. For example, some people experience specific colors when they hear specific notes played on a piano; others associate tastes with shapes. Grapheme-color synesthesia may be the most common and easiest to objectively verify and, like color blindness, is often heritable (Ramachandran & Hubbard, 2001).

When an individual has grapheme-color synesthesia, they experience color when viewing certain, but not necessarily all, graphemes (letters, numbers, or other printed symbols) even when they are printed in black on white paper. For example, if a grapheme-color synesthete is shown the letter "A" they may experience a red glow, or photism, around the letter but fully realize that the color they "see" is in their mind, not on the paper. Synesthesia has been recognized for more than a century (Galton, 1880), but only recently have scientists been able to validate these experiences by showing synesthetes perform exceptionally well on tasks that involve visually searching for specific letters that "pop-out in color" when printed in black and white (Ramachandran & Hubbard, 2001). For example, finding a letter "F" in a sheet full of "Es" is difficult for most people, but if a synesthete associates "F" with red and "E" with green, the task is as easy as spotting the only ripe apple in a tree.

Eagleman, Kagan, Nelson and Sarma (2007) have demonstrated that the strength of synesthetic experiences can be measured with computerized tests that repeatedly present participants with graphemes while asking them to choose the associated color from an enormous array of hues. The strength of the synesthetic association is quantified from the consistency in color choices across multiple presentations (Figure 2). Synesthetes find such tasks easy and are reliable in the way they match specific letters with specific colors; non-synesthetes find these tasks impossible.

One proposed reason as to why synesthetes see colors when looking at graphemes might be that stray neural connections are linking and accidentally activating neighboring brain regions (Ramachandran & Hubbard, 2001). Two brain regions believed to play a role in synesthesia are the V4 color center (so called because it is the *fourth* in a group of visual processing areas) and the nearby posterior temporal grapheme area (PTGA), which is active when people view numbers and text. Neurons in the V4 color center are commonly activated in response to color but stray connections from the PTGA might reach V4 and trigger the sensation of color when colorless letters are viewed (Nunn, Gregory, Brammer, Williams, Parslow, Morgan & Gray, 2002).

Currently, researchers and vision scientists still do not know if this miswiring offers synesthetes an advantage or disadvantage. Bannissy et al. (2009) found that, when graphemes were not involved, synesthetes demonstrated enhanced color discrimination abilities as measured by the Farnsworth-Munsell 100 Hue Test. The researchers used the cap-sorting task in the typical fashion and found that grapheme-color synesthetes have unusually good color discrimination skills, perhaps as a result of having more brain regions involved in color processing.

Although synesthesia might improve color discrimination when graphemes are not involved, it might create a disadvantage when synesthetes must sort or arrange colored text. Smilek, Dixon, Cudahy, and Merikle (2001) showed how synesthesia can lead to confusion in some specific situations. They instructed synesthetes to find specific characters, or "targets," presented against backgrounds that were either congruent or incongruent with the color of the target's photism. They found that, for example, if a synesthete experienced the digit "5" as red, it was easy for them to spot it among other digits that evoked the experience of green, provided the digits were displayed on a white background. However, they also found that the same task was difficult when the digits were presented on a background that was congruent with the color of the target's photism; the same red photism that made the digit "pop out" on a white background could camouflage it on a red background.

Purpose of the Study

Color-grapheme synesthetes are good at color sorting tasks, but certain graphemes might confuse their perceptual abilities in specific situations. The purpose of this study was to determine if photisms can affect a synesthete's performance on a color discrimination task when it involves sorting colored graphemes, rather than caps or blocks. Synesthesia may give graphic designers better color discrimination skills when they are sorting and arranging non-grapheme objects, however, graphemes might evoke photisms that can confuse a synesthete's ability to discriminate or match colors. This effect could have tremendous implications each time a synesthetic designer choses a font color for either print or video display. The current study combined themes from the previously mentioned research and examined grapheme-color synesthetes' performances on color hue sorting tests that used graphemes chosen to minimize and maximize the odds of color confusion.

Our first task was to develop a computerized Grapheme Hue Test so our participants would be able to sort colored "A"s and "B"s or "4"s and "5"s instead of colored disks (as in the original 100 Hue Test) or colored square tiles (as in the computer-based version of the 100 Hue Test). If the synesthetes had great difficulty sorting, for example, an array of "A"s that were displayed in shades of green, it could be because the actual printed colors don't match the color of the letter's associated photism. If a particular grapheme was among those that did not generate a specific colored photism, we would expect the synesthete to have no difficulty in sorting colored letters. Such findings would support the theory that synesthesia can affect color perception and discrimination skills when the affected individual is manipulating colored graphemes. We predicted that synesthetes would commit more errors and require more time when sorting colored graphemes that generate photisms than when sorting colored graphemes that do not.



(Eagleman et al., 2007).



Figure 3: Grapheme Hue Test modelled on the computerbased Farnsworth-Munsell Color Hue Test.

Method

Participants

This study was conducted with the approval of the Institutional Review Board. Candidate synesthetes were recruited from a population of undergraduates and classified using the system developed by Eagleman et al. (2007) through the Synesthesia Battery that is publicly available at <u>www.synesthete.org</u> (Figure 2). Six confirmed synesthetes performed the full battery of tests and received \$25 gift cards for their time.

Procedures

We used Adobe Illustrator (Adobe Systems, San Jose CA) to create the Grapheme Hue Test which generated grapheme arrays in colors that matched those used in the computer-based 100 Hue Test (Figure 3). We also made our test shorter than the original by increasing the step increments and employing 36 sortable elements, rather than 85. Finally, we validated our test by administering it to eight participants who had also taken the computer-based 100 Hue Test. Participant scores on the original 100 Hue test were highly correlated with scores on our Grapheme Hue Test, r(6) = .97, p < 0.01.

Figure 3 shows one unsorted set of graphemes in the Grapheme Hue Test. The synesthetes had four grapheme sets to sort; first, they sorted two scrambled sets of graphemes for which they had no photisms, these were control trials, and then two for which they did, these were photism trials.

To score performance on the Grapheme Hue Tests, we assigned each grapheme a number (not visible to the participant) that represented where it should fall in a perfectly sorted array. We then calculated a deviation



Figure 4: Mean deviation scores for participants in each phase of the grapheme sorting task. A one-way ANOVA demonstrated no significant difference across conditions, F(3, 20) = .38, p > 0.05.

scores for each trial per the methods described by Bannissy et al. (2009). Lower scores indicated fewer errors and a score of 0 indicated that all graphemes had been perfectly sorted. We recorded times and deviation scores for each trial.

Results

We hypothesized that synesthesia could create confusion in a color sorting task and that synesthetes would have higher deviation scores in the photism trials than the control trials. A one-way ANOVA demonstrated no significant differences in mean deviation scores across the four trials, F(3,20)=.38, p > .05 (Figure 4).



Figure 5: Mean time required for participants to complete each phase of the grapheme sorting tasks. Although a one-way ANOVA demonstrated a significant difference across the four conditions, F(3, 20) = 4.00, p < 0.05, a posthoc Tukey test showed no significant differences among Control 2 and the Photism 1 and Photism 2 conditions (*HSD* = 161.29 sec). We also hypothesized that synesthetes would require more time to sort colors in the photism condition than they would for the control condition. This hypothesis could not be supported. A one-way ANOVA revealed a significant difference among the four conditions F(3,20)=4.00, p<.05, but a *post hoc* Tukey test revealed that the difference was only between the first control condition and each of the remaining three. This result was contrary to our expectations and most likely shows a practice effect; participants struggled a bit while learning the task during the first trial, but improved on subsequent trials (Figure 5). Switching the participants from the control to the photism trials did not lead to a sudden decrease in performance.

Discussion

We developed a grapheme sorting task that effectively tested color discrimination ability but, contrary to our expectations, synesthetes did not differ in their ability to sort photism and non-photism graphemes. The results of this study suggest that synesthetes quickly adapt to their photisms or that they can ignore them when necessary. Although we cannot conclude that synesthesia has no effect on one's ability to sort or discriminate printed graphemes, these effects are probably small and certainly would not preclude one from a career in graphic design. When one synesthete in this study was asked if our sorting tasks were difficult, he replied that he could "eventually ignore the shape of the letters and only focus on the colors." Almost all of our participants reported a similar ability. Although synesthetes perceive photisms and associate specific graphemes with specific colors, our data give us no reason to suspect that synesthesia significantly compromises color discrimination skills.

References

- Cytowic, R. E., & Eagleman, D. (2009). Wednesday is indigo blue: Discovering the brain of synesthesia. MIT Press.
- Eagleman, D.M., Kagan, A.D., Nelson, S.S. Sagaram, D. & Sarma, A.K. (2007). A standardized test battery for the study of synesthesia. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*. 5;159(1):139–145.
- Galton, F. (1880), 'Visualised numerals', *Nature*, 22, pp. 252–256.

- Gegenfurtner, K., & Sharpe, L. (1999). Color Vision: From genes to perception. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hupé, J., Bordier, C., & Dojat, M. (2012). The neural bases of grapheme-color synesthesia are not localized in real color-sensitive areas. *Cerebral Cortex*, 22(7), 1622-1633. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhr236
- Nunn, J. A., Gregory, L. J., Brammer, M., Williams, S. C. R., Parslow, D. M., Morgan, M. J., & Gray, J. A. (2002). Functional magnetic resonance imaging of synesthesia: activation of V4/V8 by spoken words. *Nature Neuroscience*,5(4), 371–375.
- Ramachandran, V.S. & Hubbard, E. M. (2001). Synaesthesia—A window into perception, thought and language. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 8(12), 3–34.
- Smilek, D., Dixon, M. J., Cudahy, C., & Merikle, P. M. (2001). Synaesthetic photisms influence visual perception. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 13(7), 930–936.

Glossary of Terms

Cortical — involving or resulting from the action or condition of the cerebral cortex— that part of the brain that functions chiefly in the coordination of sensory and motor information.

Grapheme — a unit within a writing system–such as letters and numbers.

Grapheme-color Synesthesia — a person with grapheme-color synesthesia will associate colors with letters and numbers involuntarily. For example, when shown the letter "A" they may sense red.

Photism — a synesthetic visual sensation. To synesthetes, it is an involuntary, consistent and memorable response.

Photoreceptors — a receptor for light stimuli. There are two types of photoreceptors in the human eye: rods and cones.

Synesthesia — a neurological phenomenon in which stimulation of one sensory or cognitive pathway leads to automatic, involuntary experiences in a second sensory or cognitive pathway. For example, it can involve associations between letters, shapes, colors, tastes, smells, etc. People who experience these crossover associations are known as synesthetes.

Eastern Psychological Association

Your submission was received successfully!

Please write this confirmation number down or print this page for your records. All inquiries regarding submitted abstracts will require this confirmation number.

Confirmation number: Z6H4IQIJPIEZ

Your Submission

Submitted by: Barney Beins, PhD (Ithaca College) Email: beins@ithaca.edu Professional level: Faculty Submitted on: 2017-11-15 10:32:33 Type: Symposium Primary Category: Teaching of Psychology Secondary Category: Undergraduate Research

- I am willing to serve as chair (also for another session in the case of Symposium).
- This submission may be considered in a category other than the primary and secondary categories.

Title: APA's Recent Tools for Teaching and Research: Data, Content, and Assessment

Presentation(s): The Online Psychology Laboratory: Now it's mobile: Bernard Beins (Ithaca College) Introducing the "Gist" of Inferential Statistics with The Online Psychology Laboratory: Shawn Gallagher (Millersville University)

APA's Online Resources for Educators: Jennifer Thompson (University of Maryland University College)

Short abstract: The American Psychological Association has developed new pedagogical tools. In this symposium, we will describe the new version of the Online Psychology Laboratory's data collection modules and content pages that have been renovated to make them more accessible for students with mobile devices. We will also detail specific applications for using OPL's data for in introducing inferential

statistics. Finally, we will broaden the discussion to include APA's new assessment tools for associate and baccalaureate programs.

Long abstract: Fellow

The Online Psychology Laboratory: Now it's mobile Bernard C. Beins Ithaca College

The Online Psychology Laboratory has undergone significant revision to make classroom data collection more accessible to students. The renovation features data-collection modules accessible from standard computers and from any mobile device so students can learn research by generating data that can be combined with data sets from other classes for meaningful statistical analysis. In addition, OPL provides static resources that students can use for learning a wide range of content material independent of data collection.

Introducing the "Gist" of Inferential Statistics with The Online Psychology Laboratory Shawn P. Gallagher

Millersville University

The Online Psychology Laboratory is a teaching tool that offers students opportunities to participate in online experiments. Students can learn about within and between-group variance by analyzing the large, unfiltered data sets and comparing their own results to those from other institutions. Results can vary dramatically and simple exercises, like drafting frequency distribution histograms, invite explanations and discussions about why results may vary across groups.

APA's Online Resources for Educators

Jennifer L. W. Thompson

University of Maryland University College

APA's Committee on Associate and Baccalaureate Education (CABE) hosted the Summit for the National Assessment of Psychology in Green Bay, Wisconsin in 2016. The result of that Summit is Project Assessment (http://pass.apa.org/). Project assessment is an online repository that contains assessment tools aligned to the APA Guidelines for the Undergraduate Psychology Major: Version 2.0. Assessments are aligned not only with each guideline, but also with common content areas in psychology. In addition, the website includes resources to help with program level assessment at the associate and baccalaureate levels.

(Babbs Collegs) whethering the tBad" of Interestion's pointing with The Online Reversiogy Secondary, Sharkh Galbaric' (Millificuits University) A2245 Grand Revenuent for Enumates Jonalet Througeon (University) University Colleges

Short shetraddi. Frid Amuritaan Peychrologiaur Amuacation inag dimeloped ueer pedogogioat toats on this symmaticm we will aceitatical anti-new version of the Ordina Psychology Jakaratory s data optecorer muauler apurcantent apps that news jaser reniorated to make trom more to cassible for diritents with mabile diverse. We will also datail specific applications for yong CPC a gras for in ortholic corp. (Homes, We will also datail specific applications (or yong CPC a gras for in ortholic corp.) Position Statement written by Shawn P. Gallagher, Chair, Statewide Academic Affairs Committee



Program Review as Justification for Retrenchment

APSCUF's February 2018 legislative assembly is scheduled to review the following statement:

APSCUF POSITION STATEMENT ON THE USE OF PROGRAM REVIEW AS JUSTIFICATION FOR RETRENCHMENT

Periodic program review is a best practice in American higher education that involves stakeholders in the continuous improvement of existing academic programs in support of the student experience.

- Opening sentence of PASSHE Policy 186-04-A; Program Review

APSCUF is committed to and appreciates the value of comprehensive and effective program review and, as recognized by PASSHE, understands that it is, first and foremost, a means of enhancing the student experience. Increasingly, however, it has been used as a tool to justify threats of retrenchment. APSCUF also recognizes that "sustainability" is one of many dimensions of program review, but we are troubled by the emphasis on this poorly-defined term which is secondary to "student experience" and "accreditation standards" in PASSHE policy 1986-04-A: Program Review.

Retrenchment has costs of its own; it should be a last-resort consideration and its broad impact on the "student experience" must be understood before it is evoked as a negotiations tool. The immediate impact on students, the long-term trends in student demand, and the relative short and long-term costs of retrenchment must be fully considered by all parties before a university acts to reduce its faculty ranks. Many relatively small programs serve vital roles in the student experience and their value cannot be derived from enrollment alone. Program Reviews, which provide a wealth of information, are diminished when their only yield is a simplified interpretation of enrollment trends aimed at proposing a single, short-sighted solution to every financial concern.

APSCUF is committed to comprehensive and effective program review as one of many ways to direct evidence-based improvements in the student experience and the quality of our university programs. We do, however, strongly recommend that the matter of evaluating "Academic Program Sustainability" (a secondary program review objective) not be the sole purpose of program review and that retrenchment not be regarded as the sole solution when "Program Sustainability" is brought into question.



Pennsylvania State System Psychology Conference

A Forum for Psychological Research Across the PA State System

> Millersville University October 27-28, 2017

Pennsylvania State System Psychology Conference 2017 Millersville University

Friday (Susan P. Luek Hall Multipurpose Room)

6:00-6:10 - Welcome

6:15-6:45 – Pre-Conference Presentation #1 Shawn Gallagher, Ph.D.: *The Revised Online Psychology Lab: Improved Tools for Building an Engaging Methodology Course*

6:45-7:15 – Pre-Conference Presentation #2 Andrew Bland, Ph.D.: Assessment Meets Automation: A Humanistic Psychologist's Response to Computerized Intellectual Testing

7:15 - Adjourn to Dinner!

Saturday (Student Memorial Center)

8:00-8:45 - Registration and Breakfast (Room 118)

8:45-8:55 - Welcome (Room 118)

9:00-10:00 – Morning Keynote (Room 118) Henry Hallock, Ph.D.: *The Molecular Logic of Fear Extinction Circuitry: Implications for Psychiatry*

- **10:05-10:35** Paper #1 (Room 118) Elizabeth Boerger, Ph.D.: *Childhood Fantasy Orientation Predicts Creativity through Openness to Experience*
- **10:40-11:10** Paper #2 (Room 118) Catherine Best, Ph.D.: Tony Williams, B.S.; Allison Crouse, B.S.; and Paola Cordova, B.S.: *How Do Everyday Interactions with Diversity Influence Children's Face Recognition?*
- 11:15-12:15 Poster Session (SMC Multipurpose Room)
- 12:15-1:10 Lunch (SMC Multipurpose Room)
- **1:10-2:10 –** Afternoon Keynote (SMC Multipurpose Room) David Baker, Ph.D.: *Finding the History of Psychology in Unexpected Places*
- **2:15-2:45** Paper #3 (Room 118) Kelly Banna, Ph.D.: *What We've Got Here... Is an Opportunity to Replicate*
- **2:50-3:20** Paper #4 (Room 118) Nicolle Mayo, Ph.D.: *The Magic of Introspection in the Classroom*
- **3:25-3:55** Paper #5 (Room 118) Shaun Cook, Ph.D.: A Neuropsychological Investigation of Source Memory, Highlighting Contributions from the Frontal Lobes
- 4:00 Closing Remarks and Adjourn

* FRIDAY *

PRE-CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

6:15PM **Shawn Gallagher, Ph.D.** Millersville University

The Revised Online Psychology Lab: Improved Tools for Building an Engaging Methodology Course

The Online Psychology Lab (OPL) is supported by the Education Directorate of the American Psychological Association and aims to improve the teaching of psychology in colleges and high schools. It offers free, online experiments from different domains of psychology and, more importantly, gives students and instructors direct access to raw data sets, making it ideal for methodology courses. I will review ways to use the OPL in the classroom, demonstrate some (useful) limitations, and describe upcoming modifications.

6:45PM Andrew Bland, Ph.D. Millersville University

Assessment Meets Automation:

A Humanistic Psychologist's Response to Computerized Cognitive Testing Vrana and Vrana (2017) recently published an article that explored the feasibility of fully computerized cognitive testing-a "direction in which test publishers may be heading" (pp. 1-2). They concluded that "where automation of assessment will go, and what the psychologist's role in assessment ultimately will be, are unsettled questions in a rapidly changing environment, and merit attention and discussion" (p. 7). Mv presentation will propose pros and cons of computerized cognitive testing for both examiners and examinees in light of humanistically-oriented literature on the impact of automation upon human consciousness as well as creativity. Particular emphasis will be given to the philosophical, ethical, and social issues raised by the advent of computerized cognitive testing, as well as to the importance of balancing the role of the examiner with the phenomenological experience of the examinee in the process of person-centered assessment. Throughout the presentation, I will provide illustrations based on my experience administering, scoring, and interpreting cognitive instruments as well as instructing a graduate course in cognitive assessment.

* SATURDAY * KEYNOTE ADDRESSES

9:00AM Henry L. Hallock, Ph.D.

Millersville University, '10; Lieber Institute for Brain Development, Johns Hopkins Medical Campus

The Molecular Logic of Fear Extinction Circuitry: Implications for Psychiatry

Cognitive deficits are a core component of many neuropsychiatric diseases, but we have yet to understand how these deficits arise in mental illness and how to treat them. In this talk, I will touch on how Psychology and Neuroscience can inform Psychiatry by 1)



outlining methodological advances in Neuroscience over the past decade that can be used to probe brain function and behavior with exquisite detail; 2) demonstrating how these techniques can be applied to understanding the neural mechanisms underlying cognition in the healthy brain, and; 3) giving examples of how these approaches might be used to treat a specific behavioral/cognitive phenotype (fear extinction deficits) commonly observed in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). It is my hope that this talk will serve to further open the channels of communication between laboratory

scientists and clinicians who share the common goal of ameliorating mental illness, and provide an update on state-of-the-art techniques currently used in the neuroscientific community to probe function in both the healthy and diseased brain.

1:10PM David B. Baker, Ph.D.

Millersville University, '78; Executive Director of the Center for the History of Psychology, University of Akron

Finding the History of Psychology in Unexpected Places

The material culture (objects and artifacts) of



psychology provides important and illuminating entry points into understanding our science and practice. This talk will examine the ways in which objects can be contextualized to tell us about ourselves, our culture, and the history of psychology.

PAPERS

10:05AM Elizabeth Boerger, Ph.D.

Slippery Rock University

Childhood Fantasy Orientation Predicts Creativity through Openness to Experience

Childhood fantasy proneness is related to creative performance, but no research has examined how the personality factor 'openness', which also predicts creativity, may impact this relationship. 465 participants (56% female; M age = 24 years, SD = 13.26) completed measures of fantasy proneness (the Creative Experiences Questionnaire, CEQ), Big 5 personality traits (the Ten Item Personality Inventory, TIPI), and an objective divergent thinking test of creativity. After controlling for age and gender, there were significant correlations (ps .01) between childhood fantasy proneness (items 1-6 from the CEQ) and openness (r = .13), and between openness and creativity (number of responses-fluency, r = .18; number of categories of responses-flexibility, r = .17; uniqueness of each idea-originality, r = .23). Mediation analysis revealed that openness was a significant mediator of the links between childhood fantasy proneness and creativity scores for fluency, flexibility and originality.

10:40AM

Catherine Best, Ph.D.

Tony Williams, B.S., Allison Crouse, B.S., and Paola Cordova, B.S.

Kutztown University (P. Cordova is now at Millersville University)

How Do Everyday Interactions with Diversity Influence Children's Face Recognition?

The other-race effect suggests that people typically have better memory for newly learned faces of one's own race vs. another race (e.g., Kelly, et al, 2008; Meissner & Brigham, 2001). The current study tested children's recognition of unfamiliar Black, White, and Latino faces, using typical and distinctive exemplars within each race. We familiarized 5- to 7-year-olds to a series of 12 faces within the context of a story, and then tested their memory of 12 old vs. 12 new faces. Hit vs. false alarm rates revealed that children with more daily diversity (i.e., regular interactions with other-race neighbors, caregivers, relatives, or peers) recognized significantly more faces than children with less daily diversity, suggesting that routine experiences with other races can counteract the traditional other-race effect. Furthermore, memory for other-race faces was more pronounced if the faces were distinctive looking as opposed to typical looking, replicating previous research results (Newell, 2005).

2:15PM **Kelly Banna, Ph.D.** Millersville University

What We've Got Here... Is an Opportunity to Replicate

In 2015, the Open Science Collaboration (OSC) published a paper in *Science* describing a massive undertaking to replicate 100 previously published studies in the areas of cognitive and social-personality psychology. The OSC reported a success rate of under 50%, prompting concern over what has come to be known as the "replication crisis" in psychology. The degree to which these results accurately represent the state of psychological science has been hotly debated, and I will not relitigate those arguments in this talk. Rather, I will focus on the fact that this debate has, at the very least, reminded the scientific community about the importance of replication, and propose that those of us who teach at primarily undergraduate institutions are ideally situated to carry out such studies.

2:50PM Nicolle Mayo, Ph.D. Mansfield University

The Magic of Introspection in the Classroom

This presentation is based on a qualitative study that investigated undergraduate student perceptions of experiential learning in an introductory counseling course. Seven students reflected on how five teaching strategies impacted their understanding and application of the course material. Teaching strategies consisted of weekly peer counseling sessions and reflections, professor disclosure, chapter reflections, in-class role-plays, and in-class group or think-pair-share activities. Phenomenological analysis identified strengths in how these methods contributed to significant introspection based on student perceptions of experiential learning, among many other outcomes. These results are not comprehensively generalizable, but provide meaningful data connected to the introspective processes at work in vulnerable experiential exercises. The resulting internalizations can be best understood through a developing model about introspection processes, which may guide psychology professors in how to increase student internalized learning and better understand student experiences, while also creating the right environment for student curiosity, application, and practice beyond the classroom.

3:25PM

Shaun P. Cook, Ph.D.

Millersville University A Neuropsychological Investigation of Source Memory, Highlighting Contributions from the Frontal Lobes

The memory literature commonly refers to source memory as a single form of memory. However, there are data to suggest that source memory is not a uniform cognitive process; rather, it is a category subserved by multiple processes. To investigate whether source memory is a single process, young adults, older adults, and patients suffering from Parkinson's disease were tested on both item memory and source memory. Importantly, the source memory test included probes for variable forms of contextual information. The results suggest that source memory should not be considered as a single cognitive process, but raise questions regarding the contributions from the frontal lobes to source memory ability in patients suffering from Parkinson's disease.

PaPC 2017 Organizing Committee

Dr. Andrew Bland Assistant Professor of Psychology, Millersville University Andrew.Bland@millersville.edu

Dr. Shawn Gallagher Associate Professor of Psychology, Millersville University Shawn.Gallagher@millersville.edu

> Student Members Julia Morgan-Agricoli Gabrielle Sutton

PaPC Steering Committee

Dr. Elizabeth Boerger (Slippery Rock University) Dr. Fred Foster-Clark (Millersville University) Dr. Jason Lanter (Kutztown University) Dr. Ray Pavloski (Indiana University of Pennsylvania)

Special Thanks to:

Dr. Vilas Prabhu (Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs) Dr. George Drake (Dean, College of Education and Human Services) Dr. Fred Foster-Clark (Chair, Psychology Dept.) Keisha Patterson (Office Manager, Psychology Dept.)

> Thank you for your interest and participation in this conference!

Online description of the upcoming conference for the **Northeastern Evolutionary Psychology Society**. I was invited to be part of a four-person committee to review paper and poster submissions for this conference.



Hi, Mike

I'm honored and happy to assist. Thanks for considering me and I look forward to working with you.

Good luck with the tenure bid!

Thanks,

Shawn

On Dec 22, 2017, at 5:24 PM, Michael Frederick <<u>mfrederick@ubalt.edu</u>> wrote:

Dear Shawn,

I write to you today because I am currently serving as Program Chair for the NEEPS 2018 conference, which will be held in New Paltz, NY in April. I am currently tasked with recruiting raters for the abstracts that have been submitted. As someone who has attended NEEPS in the past, but does not have any abstracts under consideration this year, you are a perfect candidate!

We have received about 35 talk proposals and 35 poster proposals this year. The evaluation process is pretty straightforward. If you are available to rate them, I will send you a spreadsheet of the abstracts with identifying information removed. You will simply rate each talk proposal on a scale of 1-5. For the posters, a simple thumbs up or thumbs down will suffice. My hope is that this will be a quick and easy task that will allow you to get a sampling of the types of projects people in the field are working on. If you do not currently have the time to devote to this, I totally understand. We are aiming to have the decisions made by early to mid January. Please let me know either way.

Thanks for your consideration and have a great winter break!

Best regards, -Mike

Michael J. Frederick, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor, Division of Applied Behavioral Sciences Behavioral Neuroscience and Evolutionary Psychology University of Baltimore 1420 N. Charles St. Baltimore, MD 21201 USA

Editor-in-Chief EvoS Journal: The Journal of the Evolutionary Studies Consortium