Cartesian Products

Definition. Let S and T be sets. The **Cartesian product** of S and T is the set $S \times T$ consisting of all ordered pairs (s,t), where $s \in S$ and $t \in T$.

Ordered pairs are characterized by the following property: (a, b) = (c, d) if and only if

$$a = c$$
 and $b = d$.

Remarks. (a) $S \times T$ is not the same as $T \times S$ unless S = T.

Т

(b) You can define an ordered pair using sets. For example, the ordered pair (x, y) can be defined as the set $\{x, \{x, y\}\}$.

Example. Let $S = \{a, b, c\}$ and $T = \{1, 2\}$. List the elements of $S \times T$ and sketch the set.

 $S \times T = \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 1), (b, 2), (c, 1), (c, 2)\}.$

Notice that S and T are not subsets of $S \times T$. There are subset which "look like" S and T; for example, here's a subset that "looks like" S:

 $U = \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 1)\}.$

But this is not S: The elements of S are a, b, and c, whereas the elements of the subset U are pairs. Here's a picture of $S \times T$. The elements are points in the grid:

| 2 | (a,2) | (b,2) | (c,2) | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|---|
| 1 | (a,1) | (b,1) | (c,1) | |
| | а | b | С | s |

 $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ consists of all pairs (x, y), where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. This is the same thing as the the x-y-plane:



Example. Consider the following subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$S = \{ (2x, 5x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

- (a) Prove that $(-14, -35) \in S$.
- (b) Prove that $(18, 50) \notin S$.
- (a)

$$(-14, -35) = (2 \cdot (-7), 5 \cdot (-7)) \in S.$$

(b) Suppose $(18, 50) \in S$. Then for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$, I have

(18, 50) = (2x, 5x).

Equating the first components, I get 2x = 18, so x = 9. But equating the second components, I get 5x = 50, so x = 10. This is a contradiction, so $(18, 50) \notin S$.

Example. $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is the set of pairs (m, n) of integers. Consider the following subsets of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$:

 $A = \{ (3n+5, 9n+10) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \text{ and } B = \{ (s,t) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid s+t \text{ is odd} \}.$

Prove that $A \subset B$.

Let $(3n + 5, 9n + 10) \in A$. B consists of pairs whose components add to an odd number. So I add the components of (3n + 5, 9n + 10):

(3n+5) + (9n+10) = 12n + 15 = 2(6n+7) + 1.

Since 2(6n+7) + 1 is odd, (3n+5) + (9n+10) is odd. This proves that $(3n+5, 9n+10) \in B$.

You can take the product of more than 2 sets — even an infinite number of sets, though I won't consider infinite products here.

For example, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ consists of **ordered triples** (a, b, c), where a, b, and c are integers.

Example. Consider the following subset of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$:

$$W = \{(a, b, a+2b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

- (a) Show that $(-3, 5, 7) \in W$.
- (b) Show that $(2, -4, 6) \notin W$.
- (a)

 $(-3,5,7) = (-3,5,-3+2\cdot 5) \in W.$

(b) Suppose $(2, -4, 6) \in W$. Then for some integers a and b, I have

$$(2, -4, 6) = (a, b, a + 2b).$$

Equating components, I get three equations:

 $a = 2, \quad b = -4, \quad a + 2b = 6.$

But substituting a = 2 and b = -4 into a + 2b gives

$$a + 2b = 2 + 2 \cdot (-4) = -6 \neq 6.$$

This contradiction proves that $(2, -4, 6) \notin W$.

©2020 by Bruce Ikenaga