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## Objectives

We wish to investigate and measure the order of convergence of the iterative root-finding schemes, such as Newton's Method.

## Order of Convergence

Definition
Suppose the sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $p$ with $p_{n} \neq p$ for all $n$. If there exist positive constants $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ for which

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|p_{n+1}-p\right|}{\left|p_{n}-p\right|^{\alpha}}=\lambda
$$

then $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to converge to $p$ of order $\alpha$ with asymptotic error constant $\lambda$.

## Order of Convergence

## Definition

Suppose the sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $p$ with $p_{n} \neq p$ for all $n$. If there exist positive constants $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ for which

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|p_{n+1}-p\right|}{\left|p_{n}-p\right|^{\alpha}}=\lambda
$$

then $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to converge to $p$ of order $\alpha$ with asymptotic error constant $\lambda$.

## Remarks:

- If $\alpha=1$ and $\lambda<1$, the convergence is linear.
- If $\alpha=2$, the convergence is quadratic.
- Larger $\alpha$ generally means "faster" convergence.


## Example (1 of 3)

Find the order of convergence and asymptotic error constant for the
sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{n+1}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

## Example (1 of 3)

Find the order of convergence and asymptotic error constant for the
sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{n+1}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.
Let $p_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}$, then $p_{n} \rightarrow 0=p$.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n+2}-0\right|}{\left|\frac{1}{n+1}-0\right|^{1}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{n+2}=1
$$

Thus $\alpha=1$ and $\lambda=1$.

## Example (2 of 3)

Find the order of convergence and asymptotic error constant for the
sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

## Example (2 of 3)

Find the order of convergence and asymptotic error constant for the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.
Let $p_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}}$, then $p_{n} \rightarrow 0=p$.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}-0\right|}{\left|\frac{1}{2^{n}}-0\right|^{\alpha}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{n(1-\alpha)}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

if $\alpha=1$. The asymptotic error constant is $\lambda=1 / 2$ which implies this sequence is linearly convergent.

## Example (2 of 3)

Find the order of convergence and asymptotic error constant for the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.
Let $p_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}}$, then $p_{n} \rightarrow 0=p$.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}-0\right|}{\left|\frac{1}{2^{n}}-0\right|^{\alpha}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{n(1-\alpha)}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

if $\alpha=1$. The asymptotic error constant is $\lambda=1 / 2$ which implies this sequence is linearly convergent.

Note: If $\alpha<1$ the limit is 0 (not positive). If $\alpha>1$ the sequence diverges.

## Example (3 of 3)

Find the order of convergence and asymptotic error constant for the sequence $\left\{2^{-(3 / 2)^{n}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

## Example (3 of 3)

Find the order of convergence and asymptotic error constant for the sequence $\left\{2^{-(3 / 2)^{n}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Let $p_{n}=2^{-(3 / 2)^{n}}$, then $p_{n} \rightarrow 0=p$.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|2^{-(3 / 2)^{n+1}}-0\right|}{\left|2^{-(3 / 2)^{n}}-0\right|^{\alpha}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-(3 / 2)^{n}[3 / 2-\alpha]}=1
$$

if $\alpha=3 / 2$. The asymptotic error constant is $\lambda=1$.

## Comparison of Convergence

Let $p_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}}$ and $q_{n}=2^{-(3 / 2)^{n}}$, then

| $n$ | $p_{n}$ | $q_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1.00000 | 0.50000000 |
| 1 | 0.50000 | 0.35355300 |
| 2 | 0.25000 | 0.21022400 |
| 3 | 0.12500 | 0.09638820 |
| 4 | 0.06250 | 0.02992510 |
| 5 | 0.03125 | 0.00517671 |

Note: $p_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $q_{n} \rightarrow 0$ but the $q_{n}$ sequence is converging "faster".

## Convergence of Iterative Techniques

Remark: most of the root-finding techniques we have considered converge only linearly.

## Convergence of Iterative Techniques

Remark: most of the root-finding techniques we have considered converge only linearly.
Theorem
Suppose $g \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ and $g(x) \in[a, b]$ for all $x \in[a, b]$ and $g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$ with

$$
\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq k<1 \quad \text { for all } x \in(a, b)
$$

If $g^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$ then for any $p_{0} \neq p$ in $[a, b]$, the sequence $p_{n}=g\left(p_{n-1}\right)$, $n \geq 1$ converges linearly to the unique fixed point $p$ in $[a, b]$.

## Proof (1 of 2)

- The Fixed-Point Theorem asserts the sequence converges to the unique fixed point $p$.
- According to the MVT

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{p_{n+1}-p}{p_{n}-p} & =\frac{g\left(p_{n}\right)-g(p)}{p_{n}-p} \\
& =g^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) \\
p_{n+1}-p & =g^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\left(p_{n}-p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{n}$ lies between $p$ and $p_{n}$.

- Since $p_{n} \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $z_{n} \rightarrow p$ by the Squeeze Theorem.


## Proof (2 of 2)

Since $g^{\prime}$ is continuous

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}\right)=g^{\prime}(p)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|p_{n+1}-p\right|}{\left|p_{n}-p\right|^{1}} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|g\left(p_{n}\right)-g(p)\right|}{\left|p_{n}-p\right|} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|g^{\prime}(p)\right|>0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Improving Convergence

Remark: we can only have faster than linear convergence when $g^{\prime}(p)=0$.

## Improving Convergence

Remark: we can only have faster than linear convergence when $g^{\prime}(p)=0$.

Strategy: given an equation $f(x)=0$ with an unknown root $p$ in the interval $[a, b]$, we want to write down an equivalent fixed-point problem $p=g(p)$ such that $g^{\prime}(p)=0$.

## Useful Result

## Theorem

Let $p$ be a solution of $x=g(x)$ and suppose that $g^{\prime}(p)=0$. Suppose further that $g^{\prime \prime}$ is continuous with $\left|g^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|<M$ on an open interval I containing $p$. Then there exists a $\delta>0$ such that for $p_{0} \in[p-\delta, p+\delta]$, the sequence defined by $p_{n}=g\left(p_{n-1}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ converges at least quadratically to $p$. Moreover, for large values of $n$,

$$
\left|p_{n+1}-p_{n}\right|<\frac{M}{2}\left|p_{n}-p\right|^{2}
$$

## Proof (1 of 4)

- Choose $k \in(0,1)$ and $\delta>0$ so that
- $[p-\delta, p+\delta] \subseteq I$,
- $\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq k$, and
- $g^{\prime \prime}$ is continuous.
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- Choose $k \in(0,1)$ and $\delta>0$ so that
- $[p-\delta, p+\delta] \subseteq I$,
- $\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq k$, and
- $g^{\prime \prime}$ is continuous.
- As in a previous proof, $p_{n} \in[p-\delta, p+\delta]$ for $n=0,1, \ldots$.


## Proof (1 of 4)

- Choose $k \in(0,1)$ and $\delta>0$ so that
- $[p-\delta, p+\delta] \subseteq I$,
- $\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq k$, and
- $g^{\prime \prime}$ is continuous.
- As in a previous proof, $p_{n} \in[p-\delta, p+\delta]$ for $n=0,1, \ldots$.
- Find the linear Taylor polynomial for $g(x)$ expanded about $p$.


## Proof (2 of 4)

$$
g(x)=g(p)+g^{\prime}(p)(x-p)+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z(x))}{2}(x-p)^{2}
$$
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\end{aligned}
$$

where $z\left(p_{n}\right)$ lies between $p_{n}$ and $p$.

## Proof (3 of 4)
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\begin{aligned}
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## Proof (3 of 4)
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\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1}-p & =\frac{g^{\prime \prime}\left(z\left(p_{n}\right)\right)}{2}\left(p_{n}-p\right)^{2} \\
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## Proof (3 of 4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1}-p & =\frac{g^{\prime \prime}\left(z\left(p_{n}\right)\right)}{2}\left(p_{n}-p\right)^{2} \\
\frac{\left|p_{n+1}-p\right|}{\left|p_{n}-p\right|^{2}} & =\frac{\left|g^{\prime \prime}\left(z\left(p_{n}\right)\right)\right|}{2} \\
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by the Squeeze Theorem.
Conclusion: sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is quadratically convergent if $g^{\prime \prime}(p) \neq 0$ and has higher order convergence if $g^{\prime \prime}(p)=0$.

## Proof (4 of 4)

Recall that

$$
\left|p_{n+1}-p\right|=\frac{\left|g^{\prime \prime}\left(z\left(p_{n}\right)\right)\right|}{2}\left|p_{n}-p\right|^{2}
$$

Since $\left|g^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|<M$ for $x \in[p-\delta, p+\delta]$, then

$$
\left|p_{n+1}-p\right|<\frac{M}{2}\left|p_{n}-p\right|^{2}
$$

## Developing the Method

- Given that $f(x)=0$ has a solution $p$, define

$$
g(x)=x-\phi(x) f(x)
$$

## Developing the Method

- Given that $f(x)=0$ has a solution $p$, define

$$
g(x)=x-\phi(x) f(x) .
$$

- $g$ has a fixed point at $p$.


## Developing the Method

- Given that $f(x)=0$ has a solution $p$, define

$$
g(x)=x-\phi(x) f(x)
$$

- $g$ has a fixed point at $p$.
- We want to choose $\phi(x)$ so that $g^{\prime}(p)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(x) & =1-\phi^{\prime}(x) f(x)-\phi(x) f^{\prime}(x) \\
g^{\prime}(p) & =1-\phi^{\prime}(p) f(p)-\phi(p) f^{\prime}(p) \\
0 & =1-\phi(p) f^{\prime}(p) \\
\phi(p) & =\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Developing the Method

- Given that $f(x)=0$ has a solution $p$, define

$$
g(x)=x-\phi(x) f(x)
$$

- $g$ has a fixed point at $p$.
- We want to choose $\phi(x)$ so that $g^{\prime}(p)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(x) & =1-\phi^{\prime}(x) f(x)-\phi(x) f^{\prime}(x) \\
g^{\prime}(p) & =1-\phi^{\prime}(p) f(p)-\phi(p) f^{\prime}(p) \\
0 & =1-\phi(p) f^{\prime}(p) \\
\phi(p) & =\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Let $\phi(x)=\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)}$ and then

$$
g(x)=x-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad p_{n}=p_{n-1}-\frac{f\left(p_{n-1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(p_{n-1}\right)}
$$

## Developing the Method

- Given that $f(x)=0$ has a solution $p$, define

$$
g(x)=x-\phi(x) f(x)
$$

- $g$ has a fixed point at $p$.
- We want to choose $\phi(x)$ so that $g^{\prime}(p)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(x) & =1-\phi^{\prime}(x) f(x)-\phi(x) f^{\prime}(x) \\
g^{\prime}(p) & =1-\phi^{\prime}(p) f(p)-\phi(p) f^{\prime}(p) \\
0 & =1-\phi(p) f^{\prime}(p) \\
\phi(p) & =\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Let $\phi(x)=\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)}$ and then

$$
g(x)=x-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad p_{n}=p_{n-1}-\frac{f\left(p_{n-1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(p_{n-1}\right)}
$$

Newton's Method is quadratically convergent!

## Multiple Roots

Remark: Newton's Method will run into trouble if $f^{\prime}(x)=0$.

## Multiple Roots

Remark: Newton's Method will run into trouble if $f^{\prime}(x)=0$.
Definition
A solution $p$ of $f(x)=0$ is a root of multiplicity $m$ if $f(x)$ can be written as

$$
f(x)=(x-p)^{m} q(x)
$$

for $x \neq p$ and where $\lim _{x \rightarrow p} q(x) \neq 0$.
A root of multiplicity 1 is called a simple root.

## Determining the Multiplicity

Theorem
Function $f \in \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ has a simple root at $p \in(a, b)$ if and only if $f(p)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$.

Theorem
Function $f \in \mathcal{C}^{m}[a, b]$ has a root of multiplicity $m$ at $p$ if and only if

$$
0=f(p)=f^{\prime}(p)=\cdots=f^{(m-1)}(p)
$$

but $f^{(m)}(p) \neq 0$.

## Example (1 of 2)

Each of the following functions has a root at $p=1$. Determine the multiplicity of this root for each function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =x^{3}-4 x^{2}+5 x-2 \\
g(x) & =x^{3}-6 x^{2}+11 x-6
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (1 of 2)

Each of the following functions has a root at $p=1$. Determine the multiplicity of this root for each function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =x^{3}-4 x^{2}+5 x-2 \\
g(x) & =x^{3}-6 x^{2}+11 x-6
\end{aligned}
$$

| $n$ | $f^{(n)}(x)$ | $f^{(n)}(1)$ | $g^{(n)}(x)$ | $g^{(n)}(1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $3 x^{2}-8 x+5$ | 0 | $3 x^{2}-12 x+11$ | 2 |
| 2 | $6 x-8$ | -2 | $6 x-12$ | -6 |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

## Example (1 of 2)

Each of the following functions has a root at $p=1$. Determine the multiplicity of this root for each function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =x^{3}-4 x^{2}+5 x-2 \\
g(x) & =x^{3}-6 x^{2}+11 x-6
\end{aligned}
$$

| $n$ | $f^{(n)}(x)$ | $f^{(n)}(1)$ | $g^{(n)}(x)$ | $g^{(n)}(1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $3 x^{2}-8 x+5$ | 0 | $3 x^{2}-12 x+11$ | 2 |
| 2 | $6 x-8$ | -2 | $6 x-12$ | -6 |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

Conclusion: $f(x)$ has a root of multiplicity 2 at $x=1$ while $g(x)$ has a root of multiplicity 1 .

## Example (2 of 2)

Using an initial approximation of $p_{0}=0.5$ to the root $p=1$, use Newton's Method to approximate the root with $\epsilon=10^{-2}$ for each of the following functions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =x^{3}-4 x^{2}+5 x-2 \\
g(x) & =x^{3}-6 x^{2}+11 x-6
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example (2 of 2)

Using an initial approximation of $p_{0}=0.5$ to the root $p=1$, use Newton's Method to approximate the root with $\epsilon=10^{-2}$ for each of the following functions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =x^{3}-4 x^{2}+5 x-2 \\
g(x) & =x^{3}-6 x^{2}+11 x-6
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $f(x)$ <br> Multiplicity 2 | $g(x)$ <br> Multiplicity 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $p_{n}$ | $p_{n}$ |
| 0 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 |
| 1 | 0.7143 | 0.8261 |
| 2 | 0.8429 | 0.9677 |
| 3 | 0.9164 | 0.9985 |
| 4 | 0.9567 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 0.9779 | 1.0000 |

Remark: Newton's Method applied to the function with the root of higher multiplicity converges slower.

## Improving Convergence at Roots of High Multiplicity

Define $\mu(x)=\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(x) & =\frac{(x-p)^{m} q(x)}{m(x-p)^{m-1} q(x)+(x-p)^{m} q^{\prime}(x)} \\
& =\frac{(x-p) q(x)}{m q(x)+(x-p) q^{\prime}(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$
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& =\frac{(x-p) q(x)}{m q(x)+(x-p) q^{\prime}(x)} \\
\mu^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{m(q(x))^{2}+(x-p)^{2}\left(q^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}-(x-p)^{2} q(x) q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(m q(x)+(x-p) q^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$

Observe: $\mu(p)=0$ but $\mu^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$.

## Improving Convergence at Roots of High Multiplicity

Define $\mu(x)=\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(x) & =\frac{(x-p)^{m} q(x)}{m(x-p)^{m-1} q(x)+(x-p)^{m} q^{\prime}(x)} \\
& =\frac{(x-p) q(x)}{m q(x)+(x-p) q^{\prime}(x)} \\
\mu^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{m(q(x))^{2}+(x-p)^{2}\left(q^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}-(x-p)^{2} q(x) q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(m q(x)+(x-p) q^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe: $\mu(p)=0$ but $\mu^{\prime}(p) \neq 0$.
Now use Newton's Method to approximate a root of $\mu(x)$.

$$
g(x)=x-\frac{\mu(x)}{\mu^{\prime}(x)}=x-\frac{f(x) f^{\prime}(x)}{\left[f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}-f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)}
$$

## Example

Compare the number of iterations required to approximate the root $p=1$ for the function $f(x)=x^{3}-4 x^{2}+5 x-2$ starting with $p_{0}=0.5$ using Newton's Method and the modified Newton's Method.

## Example

Compare the number of iterations required to approximate the root $p=1$ for the function $f(x)=x^{3}-4 x^{2}+5 x-2$ starting with $p_{0}=0.5$ using Newton's Method and the modified Newton's Method.

| $n$ | Original | $p_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Modified |
| :---: |
| $p_{n}$ |

## Homework

- Read Section 2.4.
- Exercises: 1, 5, 7, 9

